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## Executive Summary

Since the Program Review of 2000-2001, Spanish has rejoined International Languages to form the Foreign Language Department. The current language offerings are Arabic, Chinese, French, Italian, Spanish and Vietnamese. The foreign language courses have been taught by an entirely part-time faculty (fourteen instructors) since the reassignment of the only full-time faculty member to a sister campus and the retirement of our 50 percent full-time (shared with ESL) faculty member. The Department Chair is a part-time Spanish professor.

At its peak in the 1980s, Coastline offered classes in sixteen different languages. More recently, the program has offered six different languages each semester. Of paramount departmental interest is the continued growth of the Foreign Language Program and the reinstatement of some of the less commonly taught languages (LCTLs).

Several events have greatly impacted foreign language enrollment in the past five years both positively and negatively:

- Increasing enrollment in distance learning sections in French, Italian, Spanish and Vietnamese
- Cessation of course offerings in Japanese, Korean and Russian
- The lack of a presence in the south Huntington Beach area: Coastline lost its only south Huntington Beach site in 1997 and more recently Fountain Valley High School became unavailable for classes. These sites were conveniently located and popular among foreign language learners.

Recommendations include:

- Acquisition of a south Huntington Beach site to mitigate the still experienced loss of Huntington Beach Center and Fountain Valley High School
- Increased access and a steady presence at Garden Grove Center and the newly opened Le-Jao Center in Westminster
- Replacement of the full-time position lost in Spring 2001 and the $50 \%$ full-time position lost in 2005 with two 50\% positions (shared with other disciplines) or one full-time instructor to teach two different languages
- Student access to the full sequence of foreign language course offerings

Goals:

- Improvement of class retention
- Growth of the program by increased distance learning and online options
- Reinstatement of some LCTLs
- Development of alternative modes of delivery for all languages
- Development of "virtual" online labs for special skills practice
- Revision of all course outlines to reflect current course outline format and student learning outcomes and assessment
- Develop supplemental materials and instructional procedures to increase student-tostudent contact in distance learning classes, particularly in telecourses
- Continue to advocate for at least one full-time faculty position in foreign languages


## Process

Following an initial discussion with Coastline's Instructional Researcher in Fall 2005, Foreign Languages Department Chair Rosemary Miller established a review team that included faculty members Lynne Baker, Margaret Lovig, and Cheryl Stewart, dean of instruction Shanon Christiansen, and Instructional Services administrative assistant Ann Hickey.

The team decided to survey all program faculty and as many students in the program as possible. Faculty surveying was completed entirely online; and, although faculty attempted to have their students complete the student survey online, paper copies of the survey were produced and distributed for classes in which online surveying did not appear to be possible. Twelve out of fourteen faculty members responded to the faculty survey. The student survey had a total of 296 respondents-234 from classroom-based courses, 51 from distance learning courses, and 11 students who were taking both classroom and distance learning language courses.

## History

Coastline Community College was founded in the fall semester of 1976 as the third college in the Coast Community College District. As the "college without walls", which offered easy access for the working adult, it immediately found its niche among this clientele which tended to be older, working adults with disposable time to take one or two courses for personal enrichment or educational advancement. Initially, five international languages were offered but by the mid-1980s this number had increased to sixteen languages, and Coastline was recognized as a leader in the offering of "Less Commonly Taught Languages" or LCTLs.

In 1985 the Foreign Language Program (all foreign languages at this time) acquired a Subject Specialist (Instructional Unit Assistant, now Department Chair). Prior to that time the planning of the schedule, evaluation of instructors and other departmental duties were carried out by the Discipline Dean. In Fall 1990, Spanish and other foreign languages separated into two departments, each being served by its own Department Chair. The Department Chair position is an elective position and has been filled by adjunct faculty with the exception of a period of two years. In Fall 2001, the Department Chair was once again responsible for all foreign languages with the reunification of Spanish and International Languages. The reunified discipline was called the Foreign Language Department.

Since the inception of the position of Department Chair, many changes have taken place within the department, including:

- Adoption and development of alternative modes of delivery for languages including French, Italian, Spanish and Vietnamese
- Separation and subsequent reunification of the Foreign Language Department under a single Department Chair
- Revision of course names and numbers to provide unanimity within the Coast Community College District which provided students with a more reliable way to compare courses within the District and also more uniform transferability data
- Elimination of adjunct instructors with unfavorable evaluations
- Hiring of new full-time instructors

Foreign Languages, during the early years, had one full-time instructor who taught Spanish and French. In Fall 1989, a second full-time instructor was hired to a $50 \%$ position in Spanish. An additional full-time Spanish instructor was hired in 1993 bringing Spanish to $21 / 2$ full-time instructors. With retirements and reassignments, the Foreign Language Department now lacks a full-time faculty member, and part-time faculty members teach all languages.

## Quantitative Elements

## Course Elements

From a modest offering of five foreign languages in Coastline Community College's inaugural fall semester in 1976, the Foreign Language Department's offerings grew to sixteen languages by the mid 1980s. As late as 2001-02, the department was still offering 11-12 different languages each semester. At the time of the department's highest FTES in the last six and a half-years, the department was offering courses in 9 languages. Since fall of 2003, the college has offered classes in only six languages: Arabic, Chinese, French, Italian, Spanish, and Vietnamese. Fall 2003 also represented the lowest number of FTES for the department in the six and a half-years addressed in this report, and the total number of scheduled sections dropped to a low of 27.

FOREIGN LANGUAGES 2005
Six and a Half-Year Summary of Enrollments and FTES


As the number of languages and total sections declined in Fall 2003, the average class size grew from 35 in Spring 2003 to 40 in Fall 2003-the second-highest average class size for the six and a half-year reporting period. Fall 2005 set a new high when the average class size reached 42 students.

FOREIGN LANGUAGES 2005
Six and a Half-Year Summary of Enrollments and FTES

|  | 1999-00 |  | 2000-01 |  | 2001-02 |  | 2002-03 |  | 2003-04 |  | 2004-05 |  | 2005-06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROGRAM AND COLLEGE DATA | FALL 802 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SPRWO } \\ 863 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FALL } \\ 002 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2PRING } \\ 003 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FALL } \\ 012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { apRING } \\ 013 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FALL } \\ 022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3PRINQ } \\ 023 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FALL } \\ 032 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SPRINO } \\ 033 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FALL } \\ & 042 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPRING } \\ 043 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FALL* } \\ & 052 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| FTES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frogram | 100.34 | 109.91 | 110.11 | 107.05 | 125.49 | 132.75 | 129.04 | 140.35 | 102.48 | 110.10 | 111.66 | 123.98 | 114.66 |
| College | 1636.13 | 1618.98 | 1698.32 | 1673.35 | 1661.61 | 1711.58 | 1673.54 | 1807.53 | 1617.96 | 1674.51 | 1642.30 | 1646.21 | 1674.57 |
| Program as \% of College | 6.1\% | 6.8\% | 6.5\% | 6.4\% | 7.6\% | 7.8\% | 7.7\% | 7.8\% | 6.3\% | 6.6\% | 6.8\% | 7.5\% | 6.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sections Scheduled | 44 | 43 | 43 | 45 | 48 | 47 | 51 | 51 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 23 |
| Sections Cancelled | 14 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Sections (ad]usted for concurtenticanc/work exp.) | 27 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 40 | 38 | 34 | 32 | 21 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 21 |
| Avg. Enroll. All Classes | 32 | 30 | 29 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 35 | 40 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seat Count at Census |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frogram | 862 | 961 | 967 | 914 | 1121 | 1136 | 1077 | 1118 | 838 | 920 | 911 | 974 | 888 |
| College | 17.816 | 17,444 | 17,491 | 16,858 | 15,944 | 16,213 | 16,043 | 17,053 | 15,500 | 16.243 | 15,776 | 15,698 | 15,713 |
| Program as \% of College | 4.8\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 5.4\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 6.7\% | 6.6\% | 5.4\% | 5.7\% | 5.8\% | $6.2 \%$ | 5.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seat Count at Semeater End |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frogram | 698 | 807 | 767 | 747 | 932 | 980 | 890 | 917 | 674 | 730 | 750 | 833 | 736 |
| College | 14,699 | 14,334 | 14,336 | 14,582 | 13,326 | 13,405 | 13,193 | 13,895 | 12,673 | 12,996 | 12,915 | 12,963 | 12,827 |
| Program as \% of College | 4.7\% | 5.6\% | 5.4\% | 5.1\% | 7.0\% | 7.3\% | 6.7\% | 6.6\% | 5.3\% | 5.6\% | 5.8\% | 6.4\% | 5.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attrition (Cens. to End Seats) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frogram | 19.0\% | 16.0\% | 20.7\% | 18.3\% | 16.9\% | 13.7\% | 17.4\% | 18.0\% | 19.6\% | 20.7\% | 17.7\% | 14.5\% | 17.1\% |
| College | 17.5\% | 17.8\% | 18.0\% | 13.5\% | 16.4\% | 17.3\% | 17.8\% | 18.5\% | 18.2\% | 20.0\% | 18.1\% | 17.4\% | 18.4\% |

Retention in foreign language classes has improved in both distance learning and site-based courses since the last review. A comparison of census and end seat counts indicates that overall retention in foreign language classes jumped from 79.3\% in Fall 2000 to $82.9 \%$ in Fall 2005, a change of 3.6 percentage points which translates into an improvement rate of $4.5 \%$. The rate of improvement was nearly identical for both distance learning ( $8.0 \%$ ) and site-based ( $8.3 \%$ ) classes with the amount of actual increase being 5.9 percentage points for distance learning and 6.8 points for site-based classes.


Of particular interest is the extent to which Foreign Language enrollments have shifted from classroom-based to distance learning. In Fall 1999, site-based language offerings represented two-thirds of Foreign Language FTES. By Fall 2005, the ratio had reversed itself, with more than three-quarters of the department's FTES coming from distance learning. Contributing to the FTES growth in distance learning has been the significant increase in the number of incarcerated students taking Coastline telecourses in recent years.

Foreign Languages by Delivery Mode


Semester

In spite of the addition of online courses, telecourses continue to account for the lion's share of distance learning enrollments in language classes. In Fall 2005, Foreign Language telecourses generated 66.5 FTES compared to 11 FTES for online language courses.

Fall 2005 FTES by Delivery Mode


Not reflected in the credit FTES generated by the department is the number of students served through Coastline's Military Contract Education Program. In Spring 2000, Foreign Languages had a record high of 241 military students-224 of whom were enrolled in Spanish classes, with the remaining 17 in French classes. In Fall 2005, the number of military students remained strong, with 192 students (all in Spanish classes)—equivalent to 15.92 FTES.


## Student Elements

As noted in the charts and tables in the previous section, foreign language classes had a census count in FTES-generating classes of 888 students in Fall 2005. An additional 192 students were enrolled in Spanish classes through Coastline's Military Contract Education Program. An increasingly large proportion of foreign language students are enrolled in distance learning classes.

The educational background of students enrolled in foreign language classes is quite diverse. Almost $60 \%$ of all students already hold a college degree at some level, with $24 \%$ having a bachelor's degree and 19\% holding graduate degrees.


Figure 1 Highest Educational Level

The majority of language students are working full or part-time. Just over 35\% work full time, and $9 \%$ are working half to three-quarters time. Thirty-six percent of the students report that they are not working outside the home.


Figure 2 Employment Status

More than $85 \%$ of student survey respondents were satisfied with the extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of non-traditional students (e.g., older adults, working adults). Of the six students who expressed dissatisfaction, a 46to 60-year-old, female not working outside the home indicated a desire for more day-time classes and a 61+ female not working outside the home wanted to see more 185, 280, and 285 -level classes. A 31to 45 -year-old male student working full time requested more online classes but with more CDs and tapes to support the needs of working adults. The only student of the four who indicated she was "very dissatisfied" is $61+$ years of age, works full time, and indicated that the instructor of her distance learning class was a no-show for the review and mid-term.

Almost $67 \%$ of student survey respondents indicated that they are taking language classes only at Coastline. Thirteen percent are also taking classes at Golden West College, 10\% at Orange Coast College, and $9 \%$ at a four-year college or university.

The population of students taking foreign language classes has become increasingly diverse, with students describing themselves as white now accounting for fewer than $44 \%$ of language students. (Please see Qualitative Question No. 7 for more details about the diversity of the student population.)

## Cost Elements

Since the retirement last year of a full-time Spanish instructor, the department is staffed exclusively by part-time faculty, one of whom serves as department chair.

In the course of the most recent three-year period, the department has typically scheduled 27-30 foreign language class sections per term. All of these sections are taught by part-time faculty members. In Fall 2005, the most recent term for which complete data is available, 23 class sections were offered. Expenditures for faculty salaries for that term totaled \$97,968.

In past years, the program received block grant funds to cover the cost of videos, audio tapes, and related audio-visual supplies. The program, however, has no regularly-recurring equipment or supply expenses beyond normal costs of printing class materials and handouts.

## Qualitative Questions

## 1. Need

The foreign language classes at Coastline appear to be meeting a wide range of needs. Although the majority of respondents to the student survey indicated that they are taking a language class for personal interest, significant numbers of students are taking classes to satisfy degree requirements (18\%), to meet transfer requirements ( $24 \%$ ), or for job-related reasons (10 to $15 \%$ ).

Why are you taking a foreign language course? (Mark all that apply.) Respondents: 165

| To satisfy A.A. degree requirements | 30 | $18.18 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| To satisfy general education requirements for transfer | 39 | $23.64 \%$ |
| To prepare for a new job | 7 | $4.24 \%$ |
| To improve my skills for my current job | 17 | $10.30 \%$ |
| To obtain a promotion | 3 | $1.82 \%$ |
| To prepare for travel to another country | 54 | $32.73 \%$ |
| For personal interest | 109 | $66.06 \%$ |
| Other | 15 | $9.09 \%$ |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 7 4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |  |

## 2. Student Learning Outcomes

Though few of the Foreign Language Department's faculty members have as yet participated in formal student learning outcomes training, the majority (58\%) report that
they have updated their course outlines to include expected student learning outcomes (SLOs). Instructional Services records indicate, however, that few revised outlines have been submitted for Curriculum Committee approval.

| What steps, if any, have you taken to incorporate student learning | Respondents: |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| outcomes (SLOs) into your course? (Mark all that apply.) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| I haven't yet identified expected student learning outcomes. | 1 |  |
| I am attempting to identify expected SLOs but need some assistance. | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| I have identified expected SLOs. | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |
| I have updated my course outline(s) to include expected SLOs. | 7 | $58.33 \%$ |
| I have developed a plan for assessing SLOs. | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| I have assessed students based on expected SLOs. | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| I use results from SLO assessments to modify my instruction. | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

In support of the department's efforts to identify and track student learning outcomes, Department Chair Rosemary Miller is participating in the college's two-part SLO Peer-Mentor Training in March and April, 2006, and will be working with faculty to update all course outlines. Priority will be given to the department's highest-enrolling courses-the 180 and 185 courses in Spanish, Italian, Vietnamese, French, Chinese, and Arabic.

## 3. Student Satisfaction

In general, students appear to be quite satisfied with the quality of instruction, overall program quality, and their own success within the Foreign Language Program. Almost 87\% of students reported that they are either "very satisfied" or "somewhat satisfied" with the quality of instruction in their language courses.


Figure 3 Quality of Instruction

In keeping with the quality of instruction satisfaction expressed by traditional and distance learning students alike, just over $80 \%$ of language students enrolled in distance learning
sections expressed the opinion that the caliber of their distance learning foreign language course at Coastline was equivalent to or better than the quality of classroom-based courses.


Figure 4 Students Who Agree/ Disagree that DL Language Classes are Equivalent to or Better than Classroom Courses

A similarly high percentage (97\%) was very or somewhat satisfied with the overall quality of the program. Fewer than $2.2 \%$ expressed any dissatisfaction.


Figure 5 Overall Program Quality

## Student Comments About Classroom and Distance Learning Foreign Language Classes:

"I have taken similar language courses at other community colleges and I think the instruction at Coastline is the best one I 've encountered. I just wish more people knew about it."
"We have an excellent instructor and a very motivated student group. It is very enjoyable and worthwhile."
"Hire more in the mold of Marty Dusserre."
"I am extremely happy with the content of the program and with our instructor. Rosemary Miller is an excellent instructor. Each day in class is something different all the while teaching from the book. She is very innovative and succeeds in keeping my interest each class. I applaud her!!!!" "Have only taken two classes...l was very impressed with the quality of instructors."
"Over the years, I have enrolled in several foreign languages classes. Without a doubt, the quality of instruction provided by Marty Dusserre is above excellent. Accordingly, it is difficult to rate any of your listed areas less than "very satisfied." Marty explains, encourages, listens, supports, stimulates, challenges, all with compassion, enjoyment, and humor. So, why not study Spanish with him at Coastline!!!!"
"The online environment provided by Coastline has enabled me to graduate at least 6 months earlier than scheduled due to work and home responsibilities. Although it has been a challenge with self discipline, it has benefited me extremely with my diploma that will be received in May. Thank you."

Sixty percent of student survey respondents reported that they were very satisfied with their own success in the program, and $37 \%$ reported being somewhat satisfied.


Figure 6 Own Success in the Program
Courses appear to be meeting or exceeding student expectations (96\%). Fewer than 4\% said that the course is not what they expected.

Four of the five students who expressed the opinion that their course was not meeting their expectations indicated that they felt the courses they were taking, though identified as basic or beginning level, in fact, required prior experience in the language.

Although students were generally satisfied with the extent of opportunities provided for oral language practice in foreign language classes, just over $10 \%$ of all student survey respondents indicated some level of dissatisfaction. Dissatisfaction with this aspect of the learning experience was more than twice as high among distance learning students as it was with students taking classroom-based courses. As one distance learning student commented: "Unfortunately, the flexibility of online classes also eliminates the opportunities for oral language practice that a classroom environment might provide."


Figure 7 Opportunities for Oral Language Practice

Students in distance learning sections and in classroom-based sections were both more satisfied with the opportunities for written language practice. Only $3 \%$ expressed any level of dissatisfaction at all. Interestingly, those who did indicate being somewhat dissatisfied were all taking classroom-based language courses.


Figure 8 Opportunities for Written Language Practice

Students in distance learning language classes were, in general, as satisfied as those taking classroom-based courses.

One student commented: "I am so thankful to have my Spanish class offered by telecourse! If it were not for this I would not be able to take the class. So far, I've found it extremely informative! I can pause and rewind parts I need more help with - MUCH better than a class setting where I learn almost nothing."

## 4. Program Resources

Most students expressed satisfaction with instructional facilities (89\%) and general instructional equipment ( $83 \%$ ). Typical of comments from students who expressed dissatisfaction were remarks that:

- "Some of the facilities are run down."
- "Markers and erasers are a pretty basic classroom supply, but were not readily available most nights."
- "College needs to update equipment used to instruct class. Need more visual aids."
- "Teacher was having trouble with overhead projector, etc.--couldn't get a decent one for the class!"

Some students expressed the desire to have language labs or access to CDs and tapes.
Distance learning students overwhelmingly indicated that the technology used to deliver their courses has been reliable. Fewer than 5\% expressed a negative opinion.


Figure 9 Technology Used to Deliver DL Course Has Been Reliable

Distance learning students indicated that they have less interaction with fellow students in distance learning language courses than in classroom-based courses. Only 48\% felt they had as much interaction.


Figure 10 As Much or More I nteraction with Fellow Students in DL Course Compared to Classroom

When asked about the extent of interaction with instructors in their distance learning courses, $69 \%$ indicated that they felt they had as much interaction with their distance learning instructors as they did with their classroom-based instructors.


Figure 11 As Much or More Interaction with Instructor in DL Course Compared to Classroom

And, though the levels of interaction with fellow students and with instructors was reported to be lower in distance learning classes than in classroom-based courses, $87 \%$ of the students who responded to the survey expressed satisfaction with the level of interaction. Only 10\% expressed dissatisfaction.


Figure 12 Satisfied with Amount of Interaction with Instructor and Fellow Students in DL Course

## 5. Partnerships

There currently exists a project (Proposal Concept for a Community Oriented Arab Studies Program) headed by Tom Snyder to promote cooperative agreements between Coastline and selected universities in the Arab world. This project sets the stage for enhancing the academic offerings of Coastline in the area of Arabic/Islamic studies. Amer El-Ahraf, our Arabic language instructor, is working on this project and by his own efforts publicizes the Arabic classes through his contacts within the Orange County and Southern California Arab American community. He is presently in the process of soliciting advisory committee members for this project and is engaged in dialogs with music and arts professors as well as with historians and journalists from the Arabic community. Mr. El-Ahraf notes the potential for facilitating relationships with Arab universities through the Egyptian Cultural Office in Washington, DC.

Other members of the foreign language faculty promote classes by their personal contacts within their language communities to encourage the second and third generation family members to pursue the language of their forebears. In addition, Distance Learning Dean Ted Boehler and staff are working in conjunction with the military and independent software designers to produce foreign language offerings that can be accessed by PDA.

## 6. Professional Development

Faculty members in foreign languages report participation in a wide variety of professional development activities. All 12 survey respondents indicate that they participate in Coastline's General Faculty Meeting; 8, in discipline-related workshops; and 9, in technology-related workshops. Fewer than half indicate that they have received any student learning outcomes training.

In which of the following professional development activities have you participated within the past two years? (Mark all that apply.)

| CCC General Faculty Meeting | 12 | $100.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Discipline-related workshops | 8 | $66.67 \%$ |
| Technology-related workshops | 9 | $75.00 \%$ |
| Student learning outcomes workshops/training | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
| Other workshops | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Membership in professional associations | 3 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Professional conferences | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Graduate classes/program | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Other classes | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Professional training | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| Discipline-related reading | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
| Technology-related reading | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
| Other | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
|  |  | $16.67 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

## 7. Diversity

Based on responses to the student survey, the Foreign Languages Program is serving a diverse group of students in terms of age, ethnicity, and background.

One-third of the students enrolled in foreign language classes at Coastline fall between the ages of 18 and 30. Age distribution in classes is fairly evenly spread among the older students, with $22 \%$ being between $31-45,21 \%$ between $46-60$, and $18 \%$ being 61 or older. Just over 6\% of the students were under the age of 18.


Figure 13 Age

Foreign language classes at Coastline are serving greater numbers of women students than men, with women accounting for almost $58 \%$ of all students.


Figure 14 Gender

There is considerable ethnic diversity within the student population. Students who describe themselves as white account for $44 \%$ of the students who responded to the Program Review survey. Vietnamese students represented the second largest group at $28.5 \%$, and Hispanic students accounted for $8 \%$ of the program's students.


Figure 15 Ethnicity

Student survey respondents were generally quite pleased with the extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of culturally diverse students. Factoring out students who responded "Don't know or not applicable," fewer than $2 \%$ of survey respondents indicated any level of dissatisfaction. Of those four students who indicated they were "somewhat dissatisfied," each was of a different ethnicity (one white, one Hispanic, one Vietnamese, and one "Other Asian." One Vietnamese student indicated that he/she was "very dissatisfied."


Figure 16 Extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of culturally diverse students

English is the primary language of $73 \%$ of student survey respondents. Vietnamese was the primary language of almost $21 \%$ of students enrolled in foreign language classes. Eighty percent of the students who reported Vietnamese as their primary language were enrolled in Vietnamese language classes.


Figure 17 Primary Language

## 8. Goals

## Progress on Prior Goals and Recommendations

Spanish and International Languages were reviewed separately in 2001; and International Languages, in particular, produced an extremely long list of goals and recommendations. All prior goals and recommendations, including those that emerged from the Program Review Steering Committee's Validation Reports, are listed below. Separate progress reports for Spanish and International Languages follow each listing of goals and recommendations.

## Spanish Program Review Recommendations (June 2001):

1. Initiation of a concerted search for an instructional site in the south Huntington Beach area to replace Huntington Beach Center (The Spanish Program experienced a huge reduction in students with the loss of that site which no amount of saturation in other areas has managed to mitigate.)
2. Increased access to Garden Grove Center (The Spanish program lost a site at which it offered an average of 5.2 sections per semester (Huntington Beach Center) and has been able to utilize Garden Grove Center for an average of only 1.7 sections per semester, that number being boosted by the offering of 3 sections in the inaugural semester. We strongly recommend that Spanish should be part of the ACCESS program at Garden Grove Center.)
3. Replacement of full-time faculty member leaving at the end of Spring 2001 (We are cognizant of the scheduling problems inherent in a single full-time position (lack of day classes), and therefore recommend that the program be provided with two 50\% positions or a shared contract that would effectively replace the lost fulltime position while allowing flexibility of staffing. There is precedent for this less than full-time position. A now retired Spanish full-time professor was allowed to maintain a 50$60 \%$ contract. At the present time the full-time faculty member is teaching 9 LHEs, and the 50\% full-time faculty member teaches 13 LHEs.)
4. Upgrade/improvement of furniture (chairs and desks/tables), audiovisual equipment, and whiteboards/chalkboards in locations not up to standard
5. Enable student access to the full sequence of Spanish course offerings (It is our consensus of opinion that the Spanish Program has been losing students because of perception that they will be unable to complete a sequence of courses from Spanish 180 to 285 in four semesters. Allow highly enrolled telecourse sections to offset lower enrollment in a higher-level class.)

## Prior Spanish Goals (J une 2001):

1. Initiate instructor self-study on class retention to raise consciousness in this area (Fall 2001)
2. Seek to grow the program by increased distance learning and online options. Investigate feasibility of expanding telecourse offerings to Spanish 280A and 280B (Fall 2001)
3. Seek partnership with area high school districts to offer dual credit for enrollment in high school Spanish courses in both private and public schools (This process has begun, but a concerted effort at a higher administrative level might be the impetus needed to accomplish this goal.)
4. Explore adoption of new text for classroom courses (Spring 2001)
5. Seek continuing education credit (CEU) for health professionals taking Medical Spanish courses (Fall 2001)
6. Follow-up study on $20 \%$ dissatisfaction rate in the area of feedback by telecourse staff (Fall 2001)
7. Include the Department Chair in Annual Reviews [Steering Committee note: Annual Reviews have not been formally instituted.]

## Recommendations for Spanish Department from Program Review Steering Committee Validation Report (2001):

1. Explore viability of Spanish inclusion in Access program
2. Explore potential for dual enrollment with HS
3. In spite of current student stated disinterest, explore viability of classes on Saturday including probable greater accessibility to GGC rooms
4. Explore Intersession as a time to recruit or retain students in the program
5. Explore with Facilities Committee feasibility/desirability of locating a $\mathrm{HB} / \mathrm{CM}$ site to lease for foreign languages.
6. Work with deans to have equipment and furniture needs present in Block Grant and SAC grant requests.
7. Pursue goals 1-6.
8. Request a Students' Grades compilation and assess it for appropriate grade distribution.
9. Strive to offer courses in a sequence
10. Prepare justification of full-time faculty to position to Senate in the Fall of 2001

## Progress on Prior Goals/ Recommendations for Spanish:

Considerable progress has been made on many of the prior Spanish program review recommendations and goals and the recommendations of the Program Review Steering Committee Validation Report (2001) for the Spanish Department.

I ssues pertaining to sites: (improvement in, acquisition of, access to, staff response)

- With the replacement of Huntington Westminster Center by the new and technologically advanced Le-J ao Center, many of the complaints regarding equipment and facilities have dissipated.
- Dean Christiansen also solicited, prioritized, and fulfilled requests for needs from these Block and SAC grants.
- While Le-Jao is an impressive addition to Coastline, it still does not address the need for a south Huntington Beach site. The loss of this popular center and Fountain Valley High School, both of which were popular sites for language learners, is deeply felt in the foreign language area.
- French, Japanese and Spanish were offered at Garden Grove Center; unfortunately these languages did not attract a sufficient number of students to continue. Chinese has attracted a modest student clientele and Vietnamese continues to be the language of choice at Garden Grove Center.
- The Distance Learning Department has initiated and streamlined policies and procedures and hired additional staff which has resulted in a far more efficient and accountable operation.

Issues pertaining to courses: (full range of course sequence, growth in distance learning offerings, adding new class levels in distance learning, dual enrollment, Medical Spanish, text books, class retention, Saturday classes, Access classes, Intersession classes).

- Unfortunately, the bottom line is the number of students in the class and we have been unable to sustain an appropriate number of students who wish to proceed through the entire sequence of available course offerings.
- Reorganization of Spanish telecourses did, however, result in the extension of telecourse offerings through the 185 level. With the recent growth in enrollment of the 185 level (from the mid 30s to the mid 80s), it is now feasible to explore expanding the telecourse to the 280 level. Low enrollment of the 185 level precluded that possibility before this time.
- We anticipate offering an online Spanish course in 2007.
- Dean Christiansen worked tirelessly to promote dual enrollment as a way to grow course offerings to no avail. The Early College High School Grant may yet prove the doorway to a fruitful partnership of benefit to both high schools and Coastline.
- Prior to initiating an effort to obtain CEUs for Medical Spanish, we discontinued this class offering due to a decline in enrollment.
- New texts and text editions have been adopted.
- Class retention continues to be an issue of discussion at discipline meetings and instructors are doing a good job of retaining students.
- Due in part to student disinterest, extended class hours necessary, faculty availability, and heavy usage of GGC at midterm and final exam times (distance learning courses), the issue of Saturday classes was tabled.
- Spanish was offered in Access, but did not prove to be successful.
- Spanish and Italian were scheduled for Intersession, but the amount of time the students had to spend during the four-week period of time made them unpopular offerings.

Issues pertaining to faculty: (hiring of full-time faculty) There has been continued degradation in the number of full-time faculty in the Foreign Language Department. Our last full-time Spanish professor transferred to a sister college in 1993 and our 50\% full-time faculty member retired in 2004 and currently serves in a parttime capacity. We are now at $0 \%$ full-time faculty in a program that represents $6.8 \%$ of the college's credit FTES. Spanish alone was the eighth highest credit FTES-generating department in Fall 2005. When all languages are combined, foreign language FTES for Fall 2005 totaled 114.66-placing the program third, behind only ESL and Math, both of which have full-time faculty members (four in ESL and two in Math).

## International Languages Recommendations from June, 2001, review:

1. Make available for study at Coastline all languages previously offered
2. Develop alternative modes of delivery for all languages
3. Provide online labs, adjuncts, and/or mini-courses for special skills practice
4. Add courses in "new", as-yet-unoffered languages
5. Increase College and community awareness concerning language programs
6. Split "sacred"/"literary" studies in certain languages from "colloquial" ones
7. "Stack" levels of study in certain languages
8. Develop ways for students to continue language study at Coastline, including offering courses already described in the College Catalog
9. Articulate with high schools, religious schools, and universities
10. Articulate/communicate with sister colleges within the Coast District
11. Take advantage of Coastline students as linguistic, conversational resources
12. Determine area business and community needs, desires, and demands

## I nternational Languages Goals from 2001 review:

1. Update course outlines for languages not recently offered
2. Develop new courses for "new" languages
3. Render all course offerings consistent throughout the range of languages
4. Ascertain hiring-pool availability of quality instructors in all languages
5. Develop courses and course adjuncts using Distance learning modalities
6. Offer open-entry open-exit options
7. Develop "piggy-back" courses dependent upon current courses for full understanding, academic/ intellectual enhancement, etc.
8. Create a communication system, a "linguafiles", informing the College and the community of International Languages successes and events
9. Improve retention and completion
10. Improve course continuation, permitting students who begin the study of a language to pursue it
11. Create language-tutoring program to hone linguistic skills, including a "LAB"
12. Institute SST (Students Speaking Together) program to practice conversation with native speakers
13. Continue/expand upon the College's "Foreign Film Series"
14. Create at least one full-time faculty position in International Languages/linguistics
15. Provide a "first dibs" "first rejection" policy to part-time instructors for courses they may have developed or have taught for a long time

## Recommendations for International Languages Department from Program Review Steering Committee Validation Report, 2001:

1. Explore best courses/areas for trying out distance learning deliveries. (Challenges: orienting language teachers to computers and to distance learning modality)
2. Pursue the online lab concept: how to configure so that FTES can support instructor costs, student desirability, additional costs, feasibility for grant seed money, etc. Explore possible support from Library resources and from collaboration with Student Success projects
3. Explore feasibility of recommendation \#7 (split levels) -- from student learning and faculty willingness perspectives; draw up a proposal of how this would work.
4. Resolve how to offer continued opportunities for language learning without forcing game-playing (i.e., dropping or asking for an F)
5. Explore feasibility of \#8-- advanced-level study and \#11 -- conversants (explore possibility of grant to provide stipends for the "volunteers")
6. Pursue setting agreed upon standards (pg. 15, pp 2 and 3 )
7. Identify "partners" such as churches or cultural societies to lend focused recruitment support of a given language series
8. Continue to work with Coastline's Public Relations department to market foreign language courses
9. Follow-up on CSUD's offer of equipment and advice
10. Reopen issue of offering German telecourse; there is a good telecourse on German, Fokus Deutsch
11. Explore ways to utilize native speakers as resources.
12. Explore with the Distance Learning Department the feasibility of offering Korean and Vietnamese online
13. International Language Dept. should consider discontinuing the offering of courses with enrollment problems (e.g., Korean, Persian) and use funds to offer other languages (e.g.: Norwegian, Swedish, German)
14. Explore scheduling curriculum and options to allow students to build skill and confidence
15. Possibly offer on-site classes at businesses with populations large enough to support them.
16. Work with C-TOOLS regarding a language-tutoring program
17. Consider non-transfer courses to attract students who want less rigorous language courses

## Progress on Prior Goals/ Recommendations for International Languages:

Considerable progress has been made on many of the prior International Language program review recommendations and goals and recommendations for the International Language Department from the Program Review Steering Committee Validation Report (2001):

I ssues pertaining to sites: (improvement in, acquisition of, and access to, staff response)

- With the replacement of Huntington Westminster Center by the new and technologically advanced Le-J ao Center, many of the complaints regarding equipment and facilities have dissipated.
- Dean Christiansen also solicited, prioritized, and fulfilled requests for needs from these Block and SAC grants.
- While Le-Jao is an impressive addition to Coastline, it still does not address the need for a south Huntington Beach site. The loss of this popular center and Fountain Valley High School, both of which were popular sites for language learners, is deeply felt in the foreign language area.
- French, Japanese and Spanish were offered at Garden Grove Center; unfortunately these languages did not attract a sufficient number of students to continue. Chinese has attracted a modest student clientele and Vietnamese continues to be the language of choice at Garden Grove Center.
- The Distance Learning Department has initiated and streamlined policies and procedures and hired additional staff which has resulted in a far more efficient and accountable operation.

Issues pertaining to courses: (full range of course sequence, growth in distance learning offerings, adding new class levels in distance learning, class retention, discontinuing low enrollment classes and reinstating other LCTLs, online language lab, less rigorous nontransfer courses, foreign film series, course numbering, course outlines, partnerships and publicity, "stacked" classes, articulation).

- Our enrollment decline at upper levels (280 and 285) of the course sequence makes access to the full range of course offerings unlikely in Spanish, Italian, French and Chinese. Vietnamese and Arabic are the exceptions and students may proceed through all levels of these languages in a timely fashion.
- Persian, Korean and Japanese have been discontinued, but no new languages have been reinstated since 2001.
- We have extended distance learning modes of delivery to Italian (currently telecourse, online in Fall 2006) and Vietnamese 180 and 185 are currently offered online. With this expansion of distance learning offerings we have added and trained new instructors who are able to manage the distance learning modality.
- The online Language Lab is an idea whose time has come. Most new texts come complete with an eSAM (electronic student activities manual) which will incur no costs to the college and current online and telecourses make available interactive practice. In another application of this idea, Dean Boehler is working on a PDA interactive language lab.
- Class retention continues to be an issue of discussion at discipline meetings and instructors are doing a good job of retaining students.
- French, Spanish and Chinese currently have less rigorous or more specific contentbased non-transfer courses.
- Choice of the expansion of the foreign film series is best addressed within the scope of each class.
- Our foreign language course numbering sequence is consistent throughout all languages, both within our college and within the District.
- Many language courses which had not been offered for a lengthy period of time were archived and other outlines were updated and revised to reflect new requirements of the State Academic Senate.
- Partnerships within the community, between faculty and organizations, have been invaluable in publicizing language programs, as has been our new Public Relations department.
- "Stacking" of classes as a last resort in Japanese and Korean in an attempt to rescue the classes, but did not prove successful. It is the current Department Chair's opinion that this is a detriment to the student to be in a "stacked" class (one with disparate levels of language proficiency).
- Articulation is an ongoing process and is in the hands of our Articulation Officer.

Issues pertaining to faculty: (hiring of full-time faculty, right of first-refusal to teach class, faculty hiring pool)

- There has been continued degradation in the number of full-time faculty in the Foreign Language Department. Our last full-time Spanish professor transferred to a sister college in 1993 and our 50\% full-time faculty member retired in 2004 and currently serves in a part-time capacity. We are now at 0\% full-time faculty in a program that represents $6.8 \%$ of the college's credit FTES.
- The hiring of a full-time faculty member remains of the highest priority, not so much in language/linguistics, but with an instructor able to teach two of our commonly taught languages. We have been fortunate in being able to staff classes with instructors taking into consideration their preferences as to time, location and class.
- The District maintains a hiring pool of instructors in all languages that is available when a need arises.


## New Five-Year Goals and Recommendations

## Recommendations include:

1. Acquisition of a south Huntington Beach site to mitigate the still experienced loss of Huntington Beach Center and Fountain Valley High School
2. Increased access and a steady presence at Garden Grove Center and the newly opened Le-J ao Center in Westminster
3. Replacement of the full-time position lost in Spring 2001 and the $50 \%$ full-time position lost in 2005 with two $50 \%$ positions (shared with other disciplines) or one full-time instructor to teach two different languages
4. Student access to the full sequence of foreign language course offerings

## Goals:

1. Continued improvement of class retention
2. Growth of the program by increased distance learning and online options
3. Reinstatement of some LCTLs
4. Development of alternative modes of delivery for all languages
5. Development of/access to "virtual" online labs for special skills practice
6. Revision of all course outlines to reflect current course outline format and student learning outcomes and assessment
7. Development of supplemental materials and instructional procedures to increase student to student contact in distance learning classes, particularly in telecourses
8. Continue to advocate for at least one full-time faculty position in foreign languages
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## Count and Percent <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count P | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In which foreign language classes are you currently enrolled? | Respondents: | 296 |
| French, Italian, or German | 62 | 20.95 \% |
| Spanish | 101 | 34.12 \% |
| Vietnamese | 75 | 25.34 \% |
| Other | 60 | 20.27 \% |
| Total Responses | 298 | $100 \%$ |
| Why are you taking a foreign language course? (Mark all that apply.) | Respondents: | 296 |
| To satisfy A.A. degree requirements | 42 | 14.19 \% |
| To satisfy general education requirements for transfer | 67 | 22.64 \% |
| To prepare for a new job | 15 | 5.07 \% |
| To improve my skills for my current job | 29 | 9.80 \% |
| To obtain a promotion | 3 | 1.01 \% |
| To prepare for travel to another country | 88 | 29.73 \% |
| For personal interest | 190 | 64.19 \% |
| Other $\quad 1$ <br>  | 23 | 7.77 \% |
|  | 457 | 100\% |

To what extent does the content of your current course meet your Respondents: 296 expectations?

| The course is even better than I expected | 166 | $56.08 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| The course is pretty much what I expected | 123 | $41.55 \%$ |
| The course is not what I expected | 7 | $2.36 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 9 6}$ |

How many foreign language courses have you taken at Coastline? Respondents: 294

| None | 20 | $6.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| This is my first | 119 | $40.48 \%$ |
| 2 | 83 | $28.23 \%$ |
| 3 |  | 30 |
| 4 | $10.20 \%$ |  |
| 5 or more | 14 | $4.76 \%$ |
|  | 28 | $9.52 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

How many Coastline foreign language courses have you taken Respondents: 288 through distance learning?

| None | 194 | $67.36 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 course | 40 | $13.89 \%$ |
| 2 courses | 30 | $10.42 \%$ |
| 3 courses | 14 | $4.86 \%$ |

## Count and Percent

## Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How many Coastline foreign language courses have you taken through distance learning? | Respondents: | 288 |
| 4 courses | 7 | 2.43 \% |
| 5 or more courses | 3 | 1.04 \% |
| Total Responses | 288 | $100 \%$ |
| At which location does your current foreign language class(es) meet or in which delivery mode are you taking your current foreign language class(es)? (Mark all that apply.) | Respondents: | 297 |
| Coastline Costa Mesa Center | 49 | 16.50 \% |
| Coastline Garden Grove Center | 41 | 13.80 \% |
| Coastline Huntington Westminster Center | 124 | 41.75 \% |
| Costa Mesa Senior Center | 19 | 6.40 \% |
| Fountain Valley High School | 6 | 2.02 \% |
| Seal Beach Leisure World | 12 | 4.04 \% |
| Telecourse or cable | 27 | 9.09 \% |
| WWW (Internet class) | 35 | 11.78 \% |
| Other | 3 | 1.01 \% |
| Total Responses | 316 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Costa Mesa | Respondents: | 227 |
| Preferred | 99 | 43.61 \% |
| OK | 62 | 27.31 \% |
| Not preferred | 51 | 22.47 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 15 | 6.61 \% |
| Total Responses | 227 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Fountain Valley | Respondents: | : 223 |
| Preferred | 84 | 37.67 \% |
| OK | 83 | 37.22 \% |
| Not preferred | 39 | 17.49 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 17 | 7.62 \% |
| Total Responses | 223 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Garden Grove | Respondents: | : 224 |
| Preferred | 67 | 29.91 \% |
| OK | 54 | 24.11 \% |
| Not preferred | 88 | 39.29 \% |
| Don't know or n/a $\quad \begin{aligned} & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 6.70 \% |
|  | 224 | 100 \% |

## Count and Percent

## Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count P | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Huntington Beach | Respondents: | 225 |
| Preferred | 87 | 38.67 \% |
| OK | 71 | 31.56 \% |
| Not preferred | 52 | 23.11 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 15 | 6.67 \% |
| Total Responses | 225 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Newport Beach | Respondents: | 211 |
| Preferred | 53 | 25.12 \% |
| OK | 50 | 23.70 \% |
| Not preferred | 77 | 36.49 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 31 | 14.69 \% |
| Total Responses | 211 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Seal Beach | Respondents: | 205 |
| Preferred | 19 | 9.27 \% |
| OK | 30 | 14.63 \% |
| Not preferred | 123 | 60.00 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 33 | 16.10 \% |
| Total Responses | 205 | $100 \%$ |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Westminster | Respondents: | 249 |
| Preferred | 94 | 37.75 \% |
| OK | 70 | 28.11 \% |
| Not preferred | 71 | 28.51 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 14 | 5.62 \% |
| Total Responses | 249 | $100 \%$ |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - WWW (Online) | Respondents: | 210 |
| Preferred | 71 | 33.81 \% |
| OK | 39 | 18.57 \% |
| Not preferred | 71 | 33.81 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 29 | 13.81 \% |
| Total Responses | 210 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Hybrid Class (part in classroom/part online) | Respondents: | 203 |
| Preferred | 29 | 14.29 \% |
| OK | 66 | 32.51 \% |
| Not preferred | 71 | 34.98 \% |

## Count and Percent <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Count Percent

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Hybrid Class (part in Respondents: 203 classroom/part online)

Don't know or n/a

|  | 37 | $18.23 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Responses | 203 | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Telecourse or Cable Respondents: 208

| Preferred | 44 | $21.15 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 51 | $24.52 \%$ |  |
| Not preferred |  | 73 | $35.10 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a | 40 | $19.23 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

You indicated you may be interested in taking a hybrid foreign Respondents: 90
language class that divides class time between classroom sessions and work and activities conducted online. If you took a hybrid class, how often would you want to meet in the classroom?

| Once a week for about half the class time | 38 | $42.22 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Once every two weeks | 29 | $32.22 \%$ |
| Once a month | 16 | $17.78 \%$ |
| Other | 7 | $7.78 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Quality of instruction

| Very satisfied | 236 | $83.10 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 39 | $13.73 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 6 | $2.11 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 2 | $0.70 \%$ |
| Table 2 General Satisfaction - Variety of classes | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 8 4}$ |
|  |  | Respondents: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ |

Very satisfied

| 142 | $50.53 \%$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 89 | $31.67 \%$ |  |
| 21 | $7.47 \%$ |  |
|  | 6 | $2.14 \%$ |
|  | 23 | $8.19 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

## Count and Percent <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table 2 General Satisfaction - Adequacy of instructional facilities | Respondents: 278 |  |  |
| Very satisfied | 170 | $61.15 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat satisfied | 78 | $28.06 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 12 | $4.32 \%$ |  |
| Very dissatisfied | 1 | $0.36 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A |  | 17 | $6.12 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Quality of general instructional Respondents: 277 equipment

| Very satisfied | 137 | 49.46 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 94 | 33.94 \% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 17 | 6.14 \% |
| Very dissatisfied | 1 | 0.36 \% |
| Don't know or N/A | 28 | 10.11 \% |
| Total Responses | 277 | 100 \% |
| ble 2 General Satisfaction - Staff support for the program and asses | Respondents: | 279 |


| Very satisfied | 162 | $58.06 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 73 | $26.16 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 7 | $2.51 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | 4 | $1.43 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 33 | $11.83 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 7 9}$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet Respondents: 275 the needs of culturally diverse students

| Very satisfied | 155 | $56.36 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 50 | $18.18 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 4 | $1.45 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | 1 | $0.36 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 65 | $23.64 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet Respondents: 278 the needs of non-traditional students (e.g., older adults, working adults, etc.)

| Very satisfied | 184 | $66.19 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 48 | $17.27 \%$ |

## Count and Percent <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 2 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of non-traditional students (e.g., older adults, working adults, etc.) | Respondents: | 278 |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 4 | 1.44 \% |
| Very dissatisfied | 2 | 0.72 \% |
| Don't know or N/A | 40 | 14.39 \% |
| Total Responses | 278 | $100 \%$ |
| Table 2 General Satisfaction - Opportunities for oral language practice | Respondents: | 281 |
| Very satisfied | 157 | 55.87 \% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 76 | 27.05 \% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 21 | 7.47 \% |
| Very dissatisfied | 9 | 3.20 \% |
| Don't know or N/A | 18 | 6.41 \% |
| Total Responses | 281 | 100 \% |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Opportunities for written language Respondents: 277 practice

| Very satisfied | 181 | $65.34 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 75 | $27.08 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 7 | $2.53 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | 2 | $0.72 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 12 | $4.33 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Overall quality of the program
Respondents: 279

| Very satisfied | 200 | $71.68 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 71 | $25.45 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 4 | $1.43 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | 2 | $0.72 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 2 | $0.72 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 7 9}$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Your own success in the program Respondents: 277

| Very satisfied | 165 | $59.57 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 101 | $36.46 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 6 | $2.17 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied | 1 | $0.36 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 4 | $1.44 \%$ |

## Count and Percent <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Count $\quad$ Percent

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Your own success in the program Respondents: 277
Total Responses $277 \quad 100$ \%

Table 3 Distance Learning - Overall, the caliber of my distance Respondents: 62
learning foreign language course at Coastline is equivalent to or
better than the quality of my classroom-based courses.

| Strongly agree | 27 | $43.55 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 23 | $37.10 \%$ |
| Disagree | 7 | $11.29 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a | 5 | $8.06 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |

Table 3 Distance Learning - The caliber of the distance learning Respondents: 62 foreign language courses at Coastline is equivalent to or better than the quality of other distance learning courses of which I am aware.

| Strongly agree | 20 | $32.26 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 21 | $33.87 \%$ |
| Disagree | 2 | $3.23 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a | 19 | $30.65 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |

Table 3 Distance Learning - I have as much or more interaction with Respondents: 62 the instructor in my distance learning foreign language courses as I do with instructors in classroom-based courses.

| Strongly agree | 20 | $32.26 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 23 | $37.10 \%$ |
| Disagree | 10 | $16.13 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | 4 | $6.45 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a | 5 | $8.06 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |

Table 3 Distance Learning - I have as much or more interaction with Respondents: 62 fellow students in my distance learning foreign language courses as I do with students in classroom-based courses.

| Strongly agree | 13 | $20.97 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 17 | $27.42 \%$ |  |
| Disagree | 16 | $25.81 \%$ |  |
| Strongly disagree | 9 | $14.52 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or n/a | 7 | $11.29 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{7 0 t a l}$ Responses |
|  | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |  |

## Count and Percent

## Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count P | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 3 Distance Learning - I am satisfied with the amount of interaction I have with the instructor and with fellow students in my distance learning foreign language class(es). | Respondents: | 60 |
| Strongly agree | 26 | 43.33 \% |
| Agree | 26 | 43.33 \% |
| Disagree | 4 | 6.67 \% |
| Strongly disagree | 2 | 3.33 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 2 | 3.33 \% |
| Total Responses | 60 | 100 \% |
| Table 3 Distance Learning - The technology used to deliver my distance learning foreign language course(s) has been reliable. | Respondents: | 62 |
| Strongly agree | 27 | 43.55 \% |
| Agree | 31 | 50.00 \% |
| Disagree | 3 | 4.84 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 1 | 1.61 \% |
| Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |
| Age | Respondents: | 294 |
| Under 18 | 18 | 6.12 \% |
| 18-30 | 96 | 32.65 \% |
| 31-45 | 66 | 22.45 \% |
| 46-60 | 61 | 20.75 \% |
| 61 or older | 53 | 18.03 \% |
| Total Responses | 294 | 100 \% |
| Gender | Respondents: | 293 |
| Male | 124 | 42.32 \% |
| Female | 169 | 57.68 \% |
| Total Responses | 293 | 100 \% |
| Ethnicity | Respondents: | 291 |
| American Indian/Native Alaskan | 3 | 1.03 \% |
| White | 128 | 43.99 \% |
| Black | 5 | 1.72 \% |
| Hispanic | 23 | 7.90 \% |
| Vietnamese | 83 | 28.52 \% |
| Other Asian | 18 | 6.19 \% |
| Decline to State | 14 | 4.81 \% |
| Other | 17 | 5.84 \% |

## Count and Percent
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|  | Count | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Are you currently enrolled at another college in addition to your | Respondents: | 271 |
| Coastline classes? |  |  |
| (Mark all that apply.) |  |  |
| Santiago Canyon College | 2 | $0.74 \%$ |
| Other community college | 9 | $3.32 \%$ |
| A four-year college or university | 25 | $9.23 \%$ |
| No: Enrolled only at Coastline |  | 181 |
|  |  | $66.79 \%$ |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 8 8}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## Crosstabs Based on Non-Traditional Students Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005
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| Gender |  | Table 2 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of non-traditional s working adults, etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Very satisfied | Somewhat satisfied | Somewhat dissatisfied | Very dissatisfied | Don't know or N/A |
|  | Male | 113 | 83 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
|  | Row \% |  | 73.45 \% | 15.93 \% | 0.88 \% | 0.88 \% | 8.85 \% |
|  | Col \% | 40.94 \% | 45.36 \% | $38.30 \%$ | 25.00 \% | 50.00 \% | 25.00 \% |
|  | Total \% |  | 30.07 \% | 6.52 \% | 0.36 \% | 0.36 \% | 3.62 \% |
|  | Female | 163 | 100 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 30 |
|  | Row \% |  | 61.35 \% | 17.79 \% | 1.84 \% | 0.61 \% | 18.40 \% |
|  | Col \% | 59.06 \% | 54.64 \% | 61.70 \% | 75.00 \% | 50.00 \% | 75.00 \% |
|  | Total \% |  | 36.23 \% | 10.51 \% | 1.09 \% | 0.36 \% | 10.87 \% |
|  | Totals | 276 | 183 | 47 | 4 | 2 | 40 |
|  |  |  | 66.30 \% | 17.03 \% | 1.45 \% | 0.72 \% | 14.49 \% |
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| Ethnicity | Table 2 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of culturally diverse students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Very satisfied | Somewhat satisfied | Somewhat dissatisfied | Very dissatisfied | Don't know or N/A |
|  | Other | 16 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Row \% |  | 75.00 \% | 12.50 \% | 0.00 \% | 0.00 \% | 12.50 \% |
|  | Col \% | 5.93 \% | 7.84 \% | 4.08 \% | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 3.17 \% |
|  | Total \% |  | 4.44 \% | 0.74 \% | 0.00 \% | $0.00 \%$ | 0.74 \% |
|  | Totals | 270 | 153 | 49 | 4 | 1 | 63 |
|  |  |  | 56.67 \% | 18.15 \% | 1.48 \% | 0.37 \% | 23.33 \% |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| In which foreign language classes are you currently enrolled? | Respondents: 62 |  |
| French, Italian, or German | 17 | $27.42 \%$ |
| Spanish |  | 33 |
| Vietnamese | 13 | $23.23 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Why are you taking a foreign language course? (Mark all that apply.) Respondents: 62

| To satisfy A.A. degree requirements | 19 | $30.65 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| To satisfy general education requirements for transfer | 27 | $43.55 \%$ |
| To prepare for a new job | 3 | $4.84 \%$ |
| To improve my skills for my current job | 6 | $9.68 \%$ |
| To obtain a promotion | 2 | $3.23 \%$ |
| To prepare for travel to another country | 11 | $17.74 \%$ |
| For personal interest | 37 | $59.68 \%$ |
| Other |  | 9 |
|  |  | $14.52 \%$ |

To what extent does the content of your current course meet your Respondents: 62 expectations?

| The course is even better than I expected | 26 | $41.94 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| The course is pretty much what I expected | 35 | $56.45 \%$ |
| The course is not what I expected | 1 | $1.61 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

How many foreign language courses have you taken at Coastline? Respondents: 62

| None | 4 | 6.45 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This is my first | 22 | 35.48 \% |
| 2 | 19 | 30.65 \% |
| 3 | 6 | 9.68 \% |
| 4 | 4 | 6.45 \% |
| 5 or more | 7 | 11.29 \% |
| Total Responses | 62 | $100 \%$ |
| w many Coastline foreign language courses have you taken ough distance learning? | Respondents: | 61 |


| None | 10 | $16.39 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 course | 16 | $26.23 \%$ |
| 2 courses | 18 | $29.51 \%$ |
| 3 courses | 8 | $13.11 \%$ |
| 4 courses | 6 | $9.84 \%$ |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| How many Coastline foreign language courses have you taken <br> through distance learning? | Respondents: | 61 |
| 5 or more courses |  | 3 |

At which location does your current foreign language class(es) meet Respondents: 62 or in which delivery mode are you taking your current foreign language class(es)? (Mark all that apply.)

| Coastline Costa Mesa Center | 2 | $3.23 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Coastline Garden Grove Center | 6 | $9.68 \%$ |
| Coastline Huntington Westminster Center | 4 | $6.45 \%$ |
| Costa Mesa Senior Center | 2 | $3.23 \%$ |
| Seal Beach Leisure World | 1 | $1.61 \%$ |
| Telecourse or cable | 27 | $43.55 \%$ |
| WWW (Internet class) |  | 35 |
|  |  | $56.45 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Costa Mesa
Respondents: 56

| Preferred | 10 | $17.86 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 18 | $32.14 \%$ |
| Not preferred | 20 | $35.71 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a | 8 | $14.29 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Fountain Valley Respondents: 55

| Preferred | 14 | $25.45 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 15 | $27.27 \%$ |  |
| Not preferred | 17 | $30.91 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or n/a | 9 | $16.36 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Garden Grove Respondents: 54

| Preferred | 7 | $12.96 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 16 | $29.63 \%$ |
| Not preferred | 20 | $37.04 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a | 11 | $20.37 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{5 4}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Huntington Beach | Respondents: | 54 |
| Preferred | 12 | 22.22 \% |
| OK | 18 | 33.33 \% |
| Not preferred | 15 | 27.78 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 9 | 16.67 \% |
| Total Responses | 54 | $100 \%$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Newport Beach Respondents: 54

| Preferred |  | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $12.96 \%$ |  |  |
| OK | 10 | $18.52 \%$ |
| Not preferred | 25 | $46.30 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a |  | 12 |
|  |  | $22.22 \%$ |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{5 4}$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Seal Beach Respondents: 52

| Preferred |  | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 8 | $15.39 \%$ |
| Not preferred |  | 28 |
| Don't know or n/a |  | $53.85 \%$ |
|  |  | 12 |
|  |  | $23.08 \%$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Westminste
Respondents: 56

| Preferred | 10 | $17.86 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 18 | $32.14 \%$ |  |
| Not preferred | 19 | $33.93 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or n/a | 9 | $16.07 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - WWW (Online) Respondents: 57

| Preferred | 43 | $75.44 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 9 | $15.79 \%$ |  |
| Not preferred | 1 | $1.75 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or n/a | 4 | $7.02 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Hybrid Class (part in Respondents: 52 classroom/part online)

| Preferred | 10 | $19.23 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OK | 24 | $46.15 \%$ |
| Not preferred | 10 | $19.23 \%$ |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Count Percent

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Hybrid Class (part in Respondents: 52 classroom/part online)

Don't know or n/a

|  | 8 | $15.38 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Responses | 52 | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 1 Preferred Locations/Delivery Modes - Telecourse or Cable Respondents: 58

| Preferred | 28 | $48.28 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OK | 22 | $37.93 \%$ |  |
| Not preferred | 6 | $10.34 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or n/a | 2 | $3.45 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

You indicated you may be interested in taking a hybrid foreign Respondents: 34
language class that divides class time between classroom sessions and work and activities conducted online. If you took a hybrid class, how often would you want to meet in the classroom?

| Once a week for about half the class time |  | 6 | 17.65 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Once every two weeks |  | 10 | 29.41 \% |
| Once a month |  | 12 | 35.29 \% |
| Other |  | 6 | 17.65 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 34 | $100 \%$ |


| Very satisfied |  | 51 | 83.61 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somewhat satisfied |  | 7 | 11.48 \% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied |  | 2 | 3.28 \% |
| Don't know or N/A |  | 1 | 1.64 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 61 | 100 \% |
| able 2 General Satisfaction - Variety of classes |  | Respondents: | 62 |
| Very satisfied |  | 30 | 48.39 \% |
| Somewhat satisfied |  | 18 | 29.03 \% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied |  | 6 | 9.68 \% |
| Very dissatisfied |  | 2 | 3.23 \% |
| Don't know or N/A |  | 6 | 9.68 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  |  | Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percent |  |  |
| Table 2 General Satisfaction - Adequacy of instructional facilities | Respondents: | 60 |
| Very satisfied | 31 | $51.67 \%$ |
| Somewhat satisfied | 14 | $23.33 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 2 | $3.33 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 13 | $21.67 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |  |  |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Quality of general instructional Respondents: 61 equipment

| Very satisfied | 25 | $40.98 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 14 | $22.95 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 4 | $6.56 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A | 18 | $29.51 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Staff support for the program and Respondents: 61 classes

| Very satisfied | 36 | $59.02 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 18 | $29.51 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 3 | $4.92 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A | 4 | $6.56 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet Respondents: 60 the needs of culturally diverse students

| Very satisfied | 27 | $45.00 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 9 | $15.00 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A | 24 | $40.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet Respondents: 62 the needs of non-traditional students (e.g., older adults, working adults, etc.)

| Very satisfied | 35 | $56.45 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 11 | $17.74 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 1 | $1.61 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A | 15 | $24.19 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table 2 General Satisfaction - Opportunities for oral language | Respondents: 62 |  |
| practice |  |  |
| Very satisfied | 16 | $25.81 \%$ |
| Somewhat satisfied | 19 | $30.65 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 8 | $12.90 \%$ |
| Very dissatisfied |  | 3 |
| Don't know or N/A |  | $4.84 \%$ |
|  |  | 16 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Opportunities for written language Respondents: 61 practice

| Very satisfied | 45 | $73.77 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 12 | $19.67 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A | 4 | $6.56 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Overall quality of the program
Respondents: 61

| Very satisfied | 40 | $65.57 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 18 | $29.51 \%$ |  |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 1 | $1.64 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A | 2 | $3.28 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 General Satisfaction - Your own success in the program Respondents: 61

| Very satisfied | 37 | $60.66 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 19 | $31.15 \%$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 2 | $3.28 \%$ |
| Don't know or N/A | 3 | $4.92 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Table 3 Distance Learning-Overall, the caliber of my distance Respondents: 62 learning foreign language course at Coastline is equivalent to or better than the quality of my classroom-based courses.

| Strongly agree | 27 | $43.55 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 23 | $37.10 \%$ |  |
| Disagree | 7 | $11.29 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or n/a | 5 | $8.06 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 3 Distance Learning - The caliber of the distance learning foreign language courses at Coastline is equivalent to or better than the quality of other distance learning courses of which I am aware. | Respondents: | 62 |
| Strongly agree | 20 | 32.26 \% |
| Agree | 21 | 33.87 \% |
| Disagree | 2 | 3.23 \% |
| Don't know or n/a | 19 | 30.65 \% |
| Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |

Table 3 Distance Learning - I have as much or more interaction with Respondents: 62 the instructor in my distance learning foreign language courses as I do with instructors in classroom-based courses.

| Strongly agree |  | 20 | 32.26 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree |  | 23 | 37.10 \% |
| Disagree |  | 10 | 16.13 \% |
| Strongly disagree |  | 4 | 6.45 \% |
| Don't know or n/a |  | 5 | 8.06 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |

Table 3 Distance Learning - I have as much or more interaction with Respondents: 62 fellow students in my distance learning foreign language courses as I do with students in classroom-based courses.

| Strongly agree | 13 | $20.97 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Agree | 17 | $27.42 \%$ |
| Disagree | 16 | $25.81 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | 9 | $14.52 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a |  | 7 |
|  |  | $11.29 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |

Table 3 Distance Learning - I am satisfied with the amount of Respondents: 60 interaction I have with the instructor and with fellow students in my distance learning foreign language class(es).

| Strongly agree | 26 | $43.33 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 26 | $43.33 \%$ |
| Disagree | 4 | $6.67 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | 2 | $3.33 \%$ |
| Don't know or n/a | 2 | $3.33 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  |  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 3 Distance Learning - The technology used to deliver my distance learning foreign language course(s) has been reliable. |  | Respondents: |  |
| Strongly agree |  | 27 | 43.55 \% |
| Agree |  | 31 | 50.00 \% |
| Disagree |  | 3 | 4.84 \% |
| Don't know or n/a |  | 1 | 1.61 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |
| Age |  | Respondents: |  |
| Under 18 |  | 7 | 11.29 \% |
| 18-30 |  | 18 | 29.03 \% |
| 31-45 |  | 18 | 29.03 \% |
| 46-60 |  | 10 | 16.13 \% |
| 61 or older |  | 9 | 14.52 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |
| Gender |  | Respondents: |  |
| Male |  | 18 | 29.03 \% |
| Female |  | 44 | 70.97 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |
| Ethnicity |  | Respondents: |  |
| White |  | 31 | 50.00 \% |
| Hispanic |  | 9 | 14.52 \% |
| Vietnamese |  | 11 | 17.74 \% |
| Decline to State |  | 10 | 16.13 \% |
| Other |  | 1 | 1.61 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |
| Primary Language |  | Respondents: |  |
| English |  | 45 | 73.77 \% |
| Spanish |  | 2 | 3.28 \% |
| Vietnamese |  | 9 | 14.75 \% |
| Other |  | 5 | 8.20 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 61 | 100 \% |
| Are you active duty military? |  | Respondents: |  |
| No |  | 62 | 100.00 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 62 | 100 \% |

## Distance Learning Only: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What is your current employment status? | Respondents: |  |
| Not working | 15 | 24.59 \% |
| Working as a volunteer (non-paid position) | 3 | 4.92 \% |
| Working 20 hours or less per week | 11 | 18.03 \% |
| Working between 21-30 hours per week | 8 | 13.11 \% |
| Working full-time | 24 | 39.34 \% |
| Total Responses | 61 | $100 \%$ |
| What is your highest level of education? | Respondents: | 61 |
| Less than high school completion | 8 | 13.11 \% |
| High school diploma | 20 | 32.79 \% |
| Associate in Arts degree | 13 | 21.31 \% |
| Bachelor's degree | 8 | 13.11 \% |
| Master's degree | 9 | 14.75 \% |
| Doctorate | 3 | 4.92 \% |
| Total Responses | 61 | $100 \%$ |
| Are you currently enrolled at another college in addition to your Coastline classes? <br> (Mark all that apply.) | Respondents: | 60 |
| Golden West College | 4 | 6.67 \% |
| Irvine Valley College | 1 | 1.67 \% |
| Orange Coast College | 8 | 13.33 \% |
| Saddleback College | 1 | 1.67 \% |
| Santa Ana College | 1 | 1.67 \% |
| Santiago Canyon College | 1 | 1.67 \% |
| Other community college | 2 | 3.33 \% |
| A four-year college or university | 12 | 20.00 \% |
| No: Enrolled only at Coastline | 36 | 60.00 \% |
|  | 66 | $100 \%$ |

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

## Question: You indicated that the course is not what you expected. In what ways does the course not meet your expectations?

I'm not quite sure if anybody could take this course without prior knowledge of the language.

Would prefer to have ONE textbook and corresponding CDs to work with. It is time-consuming and confusing going back and forth. Would also prefer the class to be offered in a classroom setting for more student interaction and ability to practice the language.

I think that the class level is too high for the person to start with intermediate Vietnamese.
class is designed for Vietnamese speaking student.
I feel that the class is geared towards students with previous Spanish experiences, and I'm in the basic Spanish class. I feel the class is too advanced.

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you answered "Somewhat dissatisfied" or "Very dissatisfied" to any of the above items, please explain your concerns.

Hearing my instructor speak the language and explain concepts is more effective for me to understand the language. I mispronounce words a lot because I don't hear them spoken. I think a class once a week would be most beneficial.

I do not feel that I have learned as much oral language as I would want, but I know that is mainly caused by the distance learning format. Other than that, I am very satisfied with the classes I have taken.
unfortunatley, the flexibility of online classes also eliminates the opportunities for oral language practice that a classroom environment might provide.

I would prefer that live, more advanced language classes are available in the evenings for working people. My classes have all been on-line and therefore I don't have the opportunity to speak or hear the language, although my writing skills have really improved. I would like to be even better than I am in writing and reading.

I would like more daytime classes.
The only thing that dissatisfies is the speed with which changes can be made to our class assignments when our instructors tells to us that this will happen.

I did not have anyone to work with outside of class to improve my performance and i did not have as much time to study as I would have liked.

CLASS ROOM AIR CONDITIONER RUNS ALL THE TIME AND IS VERY NOISEY AT GOLDEN WEST COLLEGE.

I would like to know why it is the case that there is not a labo that is available to students in online courses. I would like to be able to use a Coastline place late at night, or at least until our chat session might finish.

Have only taken two classes by which I was very impressed with the quality of instructors. Have not had much contact outside the classroom.

I beleve the teaching staff does a very good job; but the book is lacking.
my concern is the CANCELLATION of the advanced class of Spanish
@ Mc Fadden facility

I would like to know if there is a chance that we could have some oral practice integrated into our online class? There is software available to do that?

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you answered "Somewhat dissatisfied" or "Very dissatisfied" to any of the above items, please explain your concerns.

Would like more 185 and 280 (and eventually 285) classes
I am very dissatisfied the number of iNTERMEDIATE Spainish classes offered. I love Lynn Baker's class, but not everyone can come on Wed., plus it is predominantly seniors. It is very large because she is a good teacher, but also because there are few alternatives. MORE SPANISH CLASSES NEEDED.!

I need more written practice.

Constant changing of text books make it difficult to participate especially when due to work schedule or other adult responsiblities one has to skip a semester. Too much time wasted trying to get material at a reasonable price. Ultimately, texts don't change enough to merit "new" prices and slowing the class momentum i.e. poeple sharing etc.

Over the years, I have enrolled in several foreighn languages classes. Without a doubt, the quality of instruction provided by Marty Dusserre is above excellent. Accordingly, it is difficult to rate any of your listed areas less than "very satisfied". Marty explains, encourages, listens, supports, stimulates, challanges, all with compassion, enjoyment and humor. So, why not study Spanish with him at Coastline!!!!

I enjoy Destinos and the telecourse format very much, especially since I live in San Clemente. The telecourse helps me develop reading, writing, and listening skills, but I feel there is not much opportunity to improve speaking skills.

I would like to have some place to be able to have access to the equipemts for online studies all the time, especially on the weekends. Our professor meets us sometimes at the Jackinthebox in Irvine that is open 24 hours a day, and she works at a computer laboratory that is open 24 hours a day in Irvine. We need something like this so tghat we can do our work directly with people in other countries.

I wish I had the option to take Spanish 185 (16 weeks) versus the 185 A \& B ( 8 weeks each).

Web classes are convenient for those that are already familiar with the language, but again, not much help to those that are trying to learn.

I felt that the class did satisfy the requirements for a spanish 185A course however, being that I have taken an equivalent spanish class before, it seemed like this class was confusing and used vocabulary not standard for this level of spanish. I think the class could be more effective if a more conversational approach is taken. A lot of the vocabulary is non-traditional vocabulary and is not what you would find in everyday use.

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you answered "Somewhat dissatisfied" or "Very dissatisfied" to any of the above items, please explain your concerns.

I had friends help me with assingments who were enrolled in an equivalent class at a UC and we constantly looked to the back of the book to find words that we did not know and that he thought were strange to be in this level class.

I am so thankful to have my spanish class offered by telecourse! If it were not for this I would not be able to take the class. So far, I've found it extremely informative! I can pause and rewind parts I need more help with - MUCH better than a class setting where I learn almost nothing.

I would like to practice the pronunciation of the words
more oral practice if possible
With telecourses, one seems to always be running a week behind and progress is not quickly accessible. It was very frustrating to show up for a Review and mid-term test and not have the instructor show up ...worse we sat in the classroom for one hour, before we turned in a sign-in sheet to the office and left.

Learning a new language is hard especially learning by distance learning, I would prefer more feedback on my work:Grades being posted faster, I would like to view my test/quizes to see what I need to practice more, even if it is in PDF file and I can only view it one time or something just to know where my weakness are, I would also like to see my other work graded again to know where my weakness is at.

It is challenging to learn a foreign language without working with a professor. By not meeting in class, students lack getting help in class on sounding out words or getting questions answered as the students come across a problem. However listening to the cds do help in this situation when it comes down to doing the quizes and written assignnments.

It is frustrating to be not able to have oral practices. Also, it would be a good idea to have more alternatives in the classes that the College offers to the students.

Would like to be able to converse to practice pronunciation. The online chat is really excellen, though. Dr. Watson is excellent.

The online environment provided by Coastline has enabled me to graduate at least 6 months earlier than scheduled due to work and home responsibilities. Although, it has been a challenge with self discipline, it has benefitted me extremely with my diploma that will be received in May. Thank you.

I would like to be able to go to a languauge lab, preferably at the main location in

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you answered "Somewhat dissatisfied" or "Very dissatisfied" to any of the above items, please explain your concerns.

Fountain Valley so that I may obtain help in person.

I think there should be more online classes for working adults. And for foreign language classes online there should be more instructional materials such as cd's, tapes and so on. This would help working adults with their studies, whenever their away from there computers. I have programs keeping up with my Vietnamese language class. I feel the class is filled with students that already speak the language. They are not there to learn, they are only there to fill there foreign language.
the professor is very good and friendly
Need more interaction with students to practice. Having trouble studying.
Recordings or music in class to reinforce sounds
Some of the facilities are run down.

The course was rushed each evening.

College needs to update equipment used to instruct class. Need more visual aids.

I was expecting the Spanish class to start with the basics first--because I have no Spanish experience. The class if for students with some Spanish experience.

Teacher was having trouble with overhead projector, etc.--couldn't get a decent one for the class! Other than that, nothing.

Italian and all language classes need to meet at least twice a week. Onece a week courses are not preferrable

I believe I should be doing much better in the class than I am. This is because of my other classes and extracuricular actvities that take up much of my time, so i cannot give this class all the time it needs.

The college administrators and staff should be more supportive of the instructors and their concerns as far as scheduling classes for multiple days a week, rather than one and class sizes.

I am understading the language better and better.the instructor is wonderful.plese dont drop any classes unless they have less then 4 students.

I would like the college to be really committed to offer all levels of a foreign language class, once it let students enroll in the first level. Considering how much a text book cost,

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you answered "Somewhat dissatisfied" or "Very dissatisfied" to any of the above items, please explain your concerns.
it would be very disappointing to be able to just use it for one semester, and not knowing when the next level course is offered or if at all.

I think it would be best to have class two times a week. I do not think distance learning is the best way to learn a language it could be a good addition but not a substitute for classroom experience. I think there should be conversation and phonetics classes added.

It would be nice if we were required to give short speeches or oral essays where we would be "forced" to speak nothing but the language we are studying. I find I need more oral practice - and most people in my class don't want to speak unless we are doing oral exercises from the book.

Would like to be better at fluent Italian.
I believe Italian class should be publicized better at "Sons of Italy" and "Italian Catholic Federation" Groups.... as well as publicized in the O.C. Register and local newspapers i.e. Garden Grove, Cypress, Anaheim papers ... as well as the "Pennysaver" for several weeks way before the Classes are Offered. I know Ital. people that want to learn the language of their parents (immigrants) \& may want to go to Italy to visit with relatives and tour all the museums, Vatican, Capri and especially where our American soldiers were stationed all over Italy during the last World War II.

Markers and erasers are a pretty basic classroom supply, but were not readily available most nights.

While staff may passively support the program, it is not clear what active role staff or administration takes in promoting classes and facilitating class activities or the language or other departments.

There should be more variety of online classes for those with busy schedules between school and work.

More oral participation
Not enough conversational opportunities (classes-day-time).
Not enough written opportunities (classes-day-time).
There just isn't enough time in my schedule to do as much practice. I love my class and am enjoying the progress I'm making.

I have not been told of any opportunities for further oral or written practice, but this may not be part of evening courses, which is OK.

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you answered "Somewhat dissatisfied" or "Very dissatisfied" to any of the above items, please explain your concerns.

There were no computers or TVs for the teacher to show us foreign language films. The building is nice but lacks technological resources that can be used.

We don't practice written characters as much as I wanted.
On the first day, I had problem with the parking lot.
The Chinese teacher in our class makes the learning experience very fun and enjoyable.
I wanted to take Arabic and Chinese this semester, but only Arabic 2 was offered.
I have to drive more than an hour to get to class, and this is the only campus that offered Chinese this semester.

Language is a course in memorization--age preclude rapid assimilation of a vocabulary.
Chairs are uncomfortable. Need more one-on-one practice speaking.
It is tough when classmates are at various levels. It holds the class back and takes a lot to get everyone on the same page.

More variety is needed; more oral practice
My level of satisfaction for success is only due to my limited time in personal study.
I would like to write more compositions or stories in class and have a teacher correct my mistakes so I can learn from them.

The projector up top wasn't hooked up.
I love Coastline very much but it doesn't offer that many classes. This semester alone, 3 classes were cancelled on me and I have to take classes at different colleges. I wish I could've done it all at Coastline.

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you experienced any technical difficulties or if you answered "Disagree" or "Strongly disagree" to any of the above statements, please explain the problems you encountered or the nature of your concerns.

The Java version for the online chat rooms (at least the one in French) is outdated and won't work with later verions of Internet Explorer and Netscape.

Two times when I have submitted my quiz results, I have not received the results on my E-mail.

Motivation for online learning was opportunity for self-instruction at own pace; therefore, although instructor -- and presumably fellow students which whom to interact -- were always available, I didn't not seek to take advantage of that available resource.

Some of the times that I have sent to Coastline my electronic assignments, it has seemed that they are not recorded quickly. It seems to me that if I do the assignments online, I should be able to see that I have done them, and my score should be recorded for me to be able to see.

We have had to use our own "technologies" as students. We have not been able to use the Coastline facilities. It would be a good idea if we could use the Coastline facilities.

There was little or no interaction with other students, other than the discussion board. Overall, I don't recommend a foreign language as a telecourse, I just think that direct instructiuonal support is the best approach for foreign language.

Little opportunity for interaction with other students in my WWW course
I have had to depend on my own technology. The school does not allow students to use computers unless they are in computer classes, according to the people there. I think that our clas is a computer class.

In a distance-learning foreign language class there is not the oral interaction with instructor and fellow-students that there is in a classroom-based course.

Every aspect of the class was more than satisfactory except that after the class ended and I emailed the professor for the cable course I took concerning the grade (2x). I didn't know I had a missing assignment. I didn't have a dispute, but only a question I needed answered concerning submitting assignments. That is my only disappointment. I had a solid ' A ', but due to a lost assignment I my total points at the end of the course were 1 point below a required ' A '. When I received the official grading, I saw there was a missing assignment.

I have less interaction with the instructor and especially with other students, but this hasn't been a problem for me.

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: If you experienced any technical difficulties or if you answered "Disagree" or "Strongly disagree" to any of the above statements, please explain the problems you encountered or the nature of your concerns.

The students have to depend upon their own technologies too much. Also, we have a free live chat room that does not always work, and it would help that it did work.

I have less interaction with fellow students and my distance learning instructer than with people from classroom-based courses but I do not consider that a problem. I expected less interaction when I signed up for this kind of courses. Please keep the interaction level the same.

There is no interaction with other students in the course except via discussion board which is not very active.

Sometimes we cannot gain access to the class information from the class website. Our instructor has us go to another website that she says is from Canada. That one always works, but it is kind of hard to use sometimes.

I have no interaction with other students in the class because I am not local. Unfortunately I think I am the only one besides the teacher who uses the discussion forum for comments or questions.

It is harder to interact with other students when you don't get together very often.

I have depended upon the connections and the equipment: (1) That our professor provides to us (for exmaple, "Classe Branchee", from Canada, and Lycos) (2) That my workplace provides to me

I do not have as much student interaction, but that is to be expected, as I knew what I was getting into by enrolling in an online course. I have no problem with the limited student interaction.

Of course you never have as much interaction with fellow students when your taking a classes online. However online classes are much easier for working adults with kids.

I do not think distance learning is the best vehicle to learn a foreing language. Speach is a fundamental part of any language and with distance learning that is null.

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

## Question: Are there other foreign language courses in that you would like Coastline to offer? <br> I would like Coastline to offer an elementary Latin course. <br> none <br> I would like the second half of French 185 (French In Action) offered in a classroom setting, preferably at Estancia High School where it used to take place. <br> Spanish 280 <br> Italian, Korean, additional Arabic courses <br> no <br> no <br> no <br> Vietnamese <br> Yes. German, Russian, Hebrew. <br> Italian <br> No <br> Italian

Yes. More French language courses that are at a higher level. More study of literature or of culture or of film (all for French). More languages to study, perhaps: Russian, Polish, Hebrew, some Scandinavian languages.

Perhaps a Spanish Literature course
no
French culture and French literature, with literature online. Perhaps a survey in linguistics.

French
No
no
Spanish @ Leisure World, but not basic.

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

## Question: Are there other foreign language courses in that you would like Coastline to offer?

More online classes, maybe in French literature and culture. Also French pronunciation. In the last questionnaire that I did, I wrote that a short course in pronunciotaion, like in summer or in the January classes.
none
chinese
spanish 185a, 185B, 280A, 280B and later 285A, 285B

I am personally only interested in Spanish, but I think the district should offer French, German, and perhaps even Arabic in this era.

Italian and Japanese
I would definitely like to see Coastline offer Spanish 280 and German 180 through 280. I wanted to enroll at OCC but the classes filled up too soon.

I would like more language courses to be offered online.

More Italian
Portuguese??

Arabic

Hebrew, German, Russian, Farsi

Not at this point.

No

## Spanish 3

no
no
nope spanish is fine
no

Korean, Japanese

Korean and Japanese

Russian and Greek

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

```
Question: Are there other foreign language courses in that you would like Coastline to offer?
    Hebrew, German, more French literature
    no
    Don't know.
    no
    Spanish, Japanese, Chinese
    Not that I can think of.
    Thai
    Linguistics, if you would call that a foreign language. Hebrew. More French, perhaps
    French language history or French history.
    French history--no one in our class knows much.
    French language sounds and structure
    No
    I have not looked into it, sorry.
japanese, Spanish
Chinese, Korein, French
French
no
Other Vietnamese course
N/A, haven't looked into it.
No
no
N/A
no.
Viet }28
Viet }28
```


## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Are there other foreign language courses in that you would like Coastline to offer?
chinese, german,
no

LATIN!!!

I would like to take Japanse at Coastline if offers.
yes

Latin

No.

No

None

No

I am just interested in Spanish, and it seems to have adequate class selection thus far.

Portuguese
Would like to continue my Spanish classes through the next levels at this location or Newport/Mesa area

No

Please make sure you offer the course following beginning Spanish would like to continue!

Chinese

Ancient celtic, Latin, and elvish

Spanish and French

Italian and french conversation.

Not at this time. Want to be better at Italian at this time.
advanced Italian courses that follow 180 A and B

French; Chinese

Spanish; Japanese

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Are there other foreign language courses in that you would like Coastline to offer?
Many Vietnamese classes. Language, literature, culture, history.
I would like it a lot more if Coastline College to have more classes like Golden West and Orange Coast.
English
French
No Spanish
No, thanks.
Spanish
French
Chinese; French
Not that I concern with.
Spanish
Italian, sign language, French, German
Sign language
Arabic
Arabic
Sign language (not really a foreign language but I would be interested in taking a course in sign language)
French--in class

## Conversational Spanish

Full year of Spanish 185A and 185B.
Chinese Cantonese
Japanese; Spanish
Japanese
Russian; Farsi; Pashto

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Are there other foreign language courses in that you would like Coastline to offer?
Cantonese
English for the elder
Continue with Italian 185B and maybe one higher online or a hybrid class. Higher-levelclasses are needed in Italian, French, and Spanish. I would like to see Japanese also.
Chinese
Persian (Farsi); Portuguese (Brazilian)
Farci or Dari
Urdu
No, I just want to learn Arabic.
More Arabic
More community based Arabic classes at different hours.
More Arabic language classes, speech classes
Phace [?]
Arabic language course (in-depth speech class)
Italian
Hebrew
Hebrew
Advanced Arabic courses
Not really.
More Arabic classes
Further educational classes in Arabic beyond those offered now.Persian/FarsiFarsi; Conversational Arabic; Latin

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?

I have been very satisfied with the Foreign Language Program so far. My only suggestion is that there should be more class meetings (instead of just reviews and finals) in order for students to practice their language skills with one another.
none
-
no
should add activities that would require interaction between students to practice the language.
offer more in class classes instead of on line classes

## No

More levels of the language. More opportunities to write and to study literature in the language. More opportunities to speak with and to write to speakers of the language. Our instructor has invited speakers of the language to join us, but she has told us that the College does not permit registrations from these people. On another subject, I would like that you know: Our instructor is excellent! we learn something new all the time.

Continue to use Madrigel's book - it's very well organized. Continue with Rosemary Miller - She is a wonderful teacher.

Incorporate even more day-to-day vocabulary and not as much historical information.

No

Rosemary Miller is an awesome teacher who brings humor into the lesson and makes learning Spanish a wonderful cultural experience,... and her fiestas are great

I was quite satisfied with the instructions that my teacher provided. I will be returning to complete the remaing portions of my spanish class.

LEUSURE WORLD SEAL BEACH NEEDS A BETTER BOOK WITH A WORK BOOK. I REALIZE THIS MAY NOT SUIT ALL THOSE THAT ATTEND THERE BUT I ENJOYED THE "DOS MUNDOS EN BREVE" BOOK AND WORK BOOK AT GOLDEN WEST COLLEGE.

It would be nice if the online courses materials were accessible at Coastline somewhere. It seems that the college does not have any place where online students might use computers to do online class work. The Garden Grove Center is not open to us on the

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?
weekends, and we are limited to a small number of hours of use. Also, if we could have access to oral language practice, that would be helpful, I believe.

More variety of classes

Lynne is awesome!!!
no. I am extremely happy with the content of the program and with our instructor. Rosemary Miller is an excellent instructor. Each day in class is something different all the while teaching from the book. She is very innovative and succeeds in keeping my interest each class. I applaud her!!!!

Thank you to our professor.
Not really.
no

Do not cancel existing classes.
More variety. More languages. Maybe a class of linguistics. Isn't that to study all languages?

Am extremely satisfied with the Language program
no
[Instructor name deleted] class was not helpful to me. (I dropped it this semester.) Ms Miller's and Ms. Baker's classes are very helpful and enjoyable. I currently take Ms Baker's class and plan to continue with it. I'm not taking Ms Miller's class this semester only because it is so far from where I live. I'd like to have another series of classes similar to those of Mr. Houghton's.

More classes ij Spainsih should be offered.There is also a need for classes in writing for publication or for personal enrichment. There is Betty Edwards Life History, which is very good, but we need classes in writing for children, poetry, etc. Thanks

Thank you for offering foreign language.
No.

Just don't let the programs die! Languages are so important!
Have more Italian classes, locations, instructors and levels available. Keep same text

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?
books, workbooks. Things don't change that much. Consider the variances when you travel to other countries and the cultural/dialectical differences inherent everywhere. Just the basics please. The motivated sudent will go on from there.

Hire more in the mold of Marty Dusserre.

I am not happy with the decision to not email quiz results to students. Without knowing what mistakes were made, I don't know how learning can be achieved. I understand the issue of cheating.
Perhaps results could be delayed until the due date has passed, and penalty points could be assessed for late homework and quizzes.

Give us more variety? The Coastline catalogue has a lot of courses in it that are not ever in the Coastline schedule.

I like the current program as it is. Keep it up.

No

Maybe make instructional cd's. Where we actually get a couple of lessons from our instructor.

Nope, done quite well!
wish the movies were newer for the distance learning program. They seem a bit old and so I find that Im somewhat disinterested in the content and subject matter in the movies
online, as opposed to broadcast/webcast instruction
Good and helpful materials
Have more than one level of the languages for us to study. Have pronunciation and conversation practice.

More variety, more literature

Classroom instruction with instructor on board.

This works great for the working individual.
Just maybe a little more feedback on assignments, quizes, and test. I would like to be able to view my mistakes and my correct answers. I would also like grades posted not 10 days after due date but within that week or every Friday.

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

```
Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?
no
No comment
No
```

Add more courses and more varieties of courses. Permit the modular idea.
Do not keep offering only the same classes all the time. Have more than beginning classes. Have a class about languages in general (linguistics, our professor says).

No. It already very good.
In my last class the teacher did not advise of the websites offered by the text in the class. This semester the text website is going to certainly be something that assures my passing. I think the professors should stress the opportunity to learn through those websites, as well as the instruction.

No
so far so good
no comment

Please build a language lab!!
no

No
no

N/A
Open more courses
none
no
have more foreign language classes like LATIN
no

More economical books and CDs

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?

Yes, please offer more in classroom courses for beginners, rather than just online ones.

Carryover of activities outside classroom. Meet at restaurant, etc.

No

Field trip to restaurants, museums, etc.

None

You absorb more when it's [number of weeks] longer.

No

More access to evening classes (more variety). Foreign language clubs and/or workshops or lab sessions.

Just giving a good variety of options--time, duration, etc.--for working adults so they can continue foreign language education.

New overhead projectors?

We have an excellent instructor and a very motivated student group. It is very enjoyable and worthwhile.

No. very satisfied.

No

Increase the frequency that the class meets. two or more days a week would be better.
ahve more weekened course aviliable

It would be great if there was an interactive language lab. Or even a meeting place for language students. Perhaps an "International" coffee house area at one of the campuses for students with similar study interests to interact more.

I love the way it is right now; maybe have my class meet twice a week for less time (instead of only once a week f+or 3 hours)

Offer twice a week Italian classes

Offer continuing levels of advanced courses in french and italian.
Advertise more. I have taken similar language courses at other community college and I

## Comments Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?
think the instruction at Coastline is the best one l've encountered. I just wish more people knew about it.

We should be able to visit Italian movies (even with English subtitles at bottom). Able to read newspapers (current events) - listen to Italian operas - and the latest songs being sung by the young people in Italy.

Meet more than once per week...twice is better for retention

Most classes could benefit from a specific language lab time (not necessarily a regular language lab) where speaking, comprehension, and listening for understanding are promoted. Perhaps an optional "social hour" for conversing in Italian (with Italian cookies or pasta brought by student volunteers?) Other students talk too slowly and without correct accents. The teacher is excellent, but tries (sometimes too hard) to make sure we can all understand. In Italian, we need to listen to RAI, for example.

Dr. David C. Nguyen. He the best. I enjoyed very much. He very patient and caring teacher. He the best!!

Should have a computer lab where everybody can come in at Westminster Center.
No, my instructor is very good at clarifying and discussing about the Vietnamese language and traditional.

Good

No

OK. Exelent.
I believe that foreign language classes should have field trips in order to learn the tradition of the culture.

No, it is exelent.

Online classes, hybrid classes more available classes for online courses for the higher classes 200 series would be nice.

Please keep offering classes one night a week.

More diversity in Arabic/different level classes

I would like more Spanish spoken in class vs. English. Not a big problem just a suggestion.

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?

More conversational classes in Spanish

Hire more instructors like Marty Dussere and you could forget about surveys. Then all classrooms would be filled. He is excellent!

I enjoy my instructor Marty Dussere. I hope to be in his next class also.
The Spanish course is terrific with Marty Dussere. I hope he will teach the next level.

None. It's great.

Please continue evening language courses. They are my only opportunity to take a language course.

I have been unable to complete the full sequence of Spanish because 185B was disenrolled because only 15 of the required 18 students enrolled. Now I have to re-learn what I forgot in 180A, 180B, and 185A.

I want to learn to know English!

More audio-visual materials are highly desirable.

Create classes during the day
you should have some review days or some open lab so that students can get together to make group study.

Teach the classes in Italy.

Teach some in Italy!

Would like to see a class in conversational only with more one-on-one time with teacher. Tutors?

Please allow the Arabic instructor to add additional courses as deemed necessary.

Maybe you could make two classes instead of one to learn more.

I like the instructor.

Keep it up.
Everything is good (excellent).
Expand Arabic program and offer an Arabic study abroad (short session).

## Comments <br> Foreign Languages Student Survey 2005

Question: Do you have any comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Language Program at Coastline?

Twice a week classes would be preferred in order to keep material fresh in the mind (i.e., 5-unit course).

More compositions and more field trips to places of high language concentration.

The volume for the "ceiling projector" needs improvement. Specifically, the quality of the speaker.

No, everything is perfect.
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## Language and Location: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

|  | Count P | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Which foreign languages are you currently teaching at Coastline? | Respondents: |  |
| French, Italian, or German | 3 | 25.00 \% |
| Spanish | 5 | 41.67 \% |
| Vietnamese | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Other | 3 | 25.00 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | $100 \%$ |
| At which location or in which delivery mode are you currently teaching foreign language classes? (Mark all that apply.) | Respondents: |  |
| Coastline Costa Mesa Center | 2 | 16.67 \% |
| Coastline Garden Grove Center | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Coastline Le-Jao Center In Westminster | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Costa Mesa Senior Center | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Seal Beach Leisure World | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| TV or Cable | 5 | 41.67 \% |
| WWW (Internet class) | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Total Responses | $18$ | $100 \%$ |

## Other Language <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

Question: Which foreign languages are you currently teaching at Coastline?
Chinese
Arabic
Arabic

## General Satisfaction: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 1 General Satisfaction - Variety of classes | Respondents: | 12 |
| Very satisfied | 7 | 58.33 \% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 3 | 25.00 \% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Very dissatisfied | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 General Satisfaction - Adequacy of instructional facilities | Respondents: | 12 |
| Very satisfied | 7 | 58.33 \% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 5 | 41.67 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 General Satisfaction - Quality of general instructional equipment (audio-visual, etc.) | Respondents: | 12 |
| Very satisfied | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 6 | 50.00 \% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Don't know or N/A | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 General Satisfaction - Staff support for the program and classes | Respondents: | 12 |
| Very satisfied | 8 | 66.67 \% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | 100 \% |

Table 1 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet Respondents: 12 the needs of culturally diverse students

| Very satisfied |  | 9 | $75.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |  |
| Don't know or N/A | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 1 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet Respondents: 12 the needs of non-traditional students (e.g., older adults, working adults, etc.)

Very satisfied
Somewhat satisfied

|  | 11 | $91.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

# General Satisfaction: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 1 General Satisfaction - Overall quality of the program | Respondents: |  |
| Very satisfied | 10 | 83.33 \% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | 100 \% |
| Table 1 General Satisfaction - Your own success teaching in the program | Respondents: |  |
| Very satisfied | 11 | 91.67 \% |
| Very dissatisfied | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | $100 \%$ |

## General Satisfaction: Comments Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

## Question: If you indicated that you were "Somewhat Dissatisfied" or "Very Dissatisfied" with any of the above items, please explain your concerns.

I feel as if i could and should be doing more; moreover, i would really like to be better able to include in our discussions people from other countries, synchronously and asynchronously. In addition, completing the enrollment procedure to join our courses continues to be difficult for many, they say.

Variety of Classes. There was a time that Coastline offered a very large number of classes in a wide variety of languages. Now, there are just a few. I'm not sure what caused the shift but it seems that the emphasis changed because other programs (technology, distance learning, etc.) started getting more of the funding, leaving foreign languages (and probably others) behind.

The offerings of the foreign language classes has really diminished over the years. Fulltime faculty who have left or retired have not been replaced.

## Distance Learning: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

## Count Percent

Table 2 Distance Learning - Overall, the caliber of the distance
Respondents: 5
learning foreign language courses at Coastline is equivalent to or better than the quality of other distance learning courses of which you are aware.

| Strongly agree |  | 4 | $80.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disagree | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 Distance Learning - I have as much or more interaction with Respondents: 5 students in my distance learning courses as I do with students in classroom-based courses.

| Strongly agree | 3 | $60.00 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree |  | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{5}$ |

Table 2 Distance Learning - I am satisfied with the amount of Respondents: 5 interaction I have with the students in my distance learning course.

| Strongly agree |  | 3 | $60.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree |  | 2 | $40.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 2 Distance Learning - The technology used to deliver my Respondents: 5 distance learning course has been reliable.

| Strongly agree | 3 | $60.00 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree |  | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |
| Neutral |  | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{5}$ |

Table 2 Distance Learning - The support I receive from the Distance Respondents: 5 Learning Department meets my needs.

| Strongly agree |  | 4 | $80.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neutral |  | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{5}$ |

# Distance Learning: Comments Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: If you answered "Disagree" or "Strongly disagree" to any of the above statements about distance learning, please explain your concerns.

In Kentucky and in Florida, statewide initiatives include students from a lot of different colleges and faculty therefrom; the instructor participation leads to collaboration, and the student participation leads to greater diversity/interactivity. Kentucky also allows students from neighboring states to enroll in courses at an in-Kentucky rate. In Virginia, programs exist that incorportae language study into an entire cultural co-operative. In Switzerland, language study online is used as a conduit to understanding math and science. In France, language study online is used to broaden the study of France's various "sub-cultures", such as those of the Bretons and the Basques. In Burundi, in Africa, language study is used to help women gain understanding of their rights as people and it is also used to help young boys and girls gain an awareness of the history of Africa and the need to improve its natural resources. In Senegal, also in Africa, the literature and folklore of the are, as well as technological initiatives to leap the gap of the "digital divide" have been put into play through OSIRIS. Canada's Office de la langue française is adding new ideas every day, including free-access Web-based materials for education. There is more, but it would be nice if Coastline could do or participate in any of these things.

I have almost no personal contact with my Distance Learning students.

# Other Delivery Formats <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: Are there other delivery formats in which you would be interested?
Online with field trips, such as visits to museums or other events related to what is being discussed online
no

## Delivery Mode Preferences: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

## Count Percent

Table 3 Scheduling or Delivery Mode Preferences - Classroom-based Respondents: 12 courses

| Very Interested |  | 10 | $83.33 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat Interested | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |  |
| Not Interested | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |

Table 3 Scheduling or Delivery Mode Preferences - Telecourse or Respondents: 12 cable

| Very Interested | 7 | $58.33 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less Interested | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| Not Interested |  | 3 |


| Very Interested |  | 1 | 9.09 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somewhat Interested |  | 3 | 27.27 \% |
| Less Interested |  | 3 | 27.27 \% |
| Not Interested |  | 4 | 36.36 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 11 | 100 \% |



| Very Interested |  | 3 | $27.27 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat Interested | 2 | $18.18 \%$ |  |
| Not Interested |  | 6 | $54.55 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

## Summary of Ranking Responses <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

Please rank the following scheduling formats for language classes with "1" representing the format you most prefer, " 2 " representing your second choice, " 3 " your third choice, etc. Use each ranking number only once.

| 16-week classes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 8 | 56 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 18 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 12 | 77 |
| 12-week classes |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 3 | 21 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 30 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 15 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 11 | 66 |
| 8-week classes |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 12 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 40 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 11 | 59 |
| 4-week classes |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 28 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 7 | 28 |
| Intensive week-long classes that meet daily |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 4 | 8 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 5 | 14 |

## Summary of Ranking Responses <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

Please rank the following scheduling formats for language classes with "1" representing the format you most prefer, " 2 " representing your second choice, " 3 " your third choice, etc. Use each ranking number only once.

Intensive weekend classes

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 4 | 12 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 |  | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Other

| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Formats and Military: Count/Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

Count $\quad$ Percent $\quad$ Clu

You indicated an interest in a hybrid format that divides class time
Respondents: 5
between classroom sessions and work and activities conducted
online. If a hybrid class were offered in your discipline, how often do you believe the class should meet in the classroom?

| Once a week for about half the class time |  | 4 | $80.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Once a month | 1 | $20.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Do you teach any foreign languages classes for Coastline's Military Respondents: 12
Program?

| Yes |  | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  | 11 | 91.67 \% |
|  | Total Responses | 12 | 100 \% |

To the best of your knowledge, do you have any incarcerated Respondents: 12 students enrolled in any of your Coastline foreign language classes?

| Yes |  | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Don't know | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

You indicated that you teach classes in Coastline's Military Program. Respondents: 1
Are you satisfied with the way in which you are able to deliver instruction for military students?

Very satisfied

|  | 1 | $100.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Responses | 1 | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

# Military Classes: Comments <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

## Question:

## Incarcerated Students: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

## Count <br> Percent

You indicated that you have incarcerated students enrolled in one or Respondents: 6
more of the foreign language classes that you teach. Approximately
how many incarcerated students are enrolled in your classes?
(Provide a single estimated total for all of your...

| $11-20$ students | 3 | $50.00 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31-40$ students | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |  |
| $41-50$ students | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |  |
| More than 50 students |  | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Are you satisfied with the way in which you are able to deliver Respondents: 6 instruction for incarcerated students?

| Very satisfied | 1 | $16.67 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somewhat satisfied | 2 | $33.33 \%$ |  |
| Very dissatisfied |  | 3 | $50.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

# Incarcerated Student Classes: Comments Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: Do you have any comments or concerns specifically related to foreign language classes that enroll incarcerated students?

1) Security of exams
2) Difficulty in communicating with incarcerated students (i.e. their mail is slow and unreliable)
3) Difficulty on the part of students to meet deadlines of class due to unforseen "lockdowns", etc.
4) Meeting grade submission deadlines at course completion due to the above problems.

Receiving their information late is a frusatrtion for them and for me; inability to communicate with them unless they have a friend outside is also a real problem, especially for a language course. Might it be possible to arrange telephone confedrence calls with these people? CCC used to have this capacity more than a decade ago... no longer?

Not having e-mail contact with the students is very unsatisfactory. Also, their assignments arrive very late because of snail mail or lock-downs, etc., and they must therefore get preferential treatment. Their final exams always arrive so late that I must assign failing grades and then submit a grade change later - a big pain! I think they should be required to abide by the timelines prescribed in the student handbook.

Incarcerated students have special needs. Many enroll and never have access to videos. Proctoring of tests and security is questionnable. Because of Lockdowns or problems with guards and educational officers, assignments come in late.
no

## SLOs: Count and Percent <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

## Count Percent

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Construct sentences in the
Respondents: 12
target language that demonstrate an understanding of vocabulary, grammatical structure, and cultural context

| Very important |  | 8 | $66.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Important |  | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Respond with understanding Respondents: 12 to written, spoken, and visual cues presented in the target language

| Very important |  | 11 | $91.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Important | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | 12 |

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Use interpersonal, interpretive, Respondents: 12 and presentational skills and strategies to communicate in the target language

| Very important |  | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $58.33 \%$ |  |  |
| Important | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Less important |  | 1 |
|  |  | $8.33 \%$ |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Speak, read, and write at an Respondents: 12 intermediate or higher level in the target language

| Very important |  | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $58.33 \%$ |  |  |
| Important | 3 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Less important | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Not important |  | 1 |
|  |  | $8.33 \%$ |
|  |  | Total Responses |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Satisfy social needs in the Respondents: 12 target language

| Very important |  | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Important | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Use the target language to Respondents: 12 accomplish basic communication tasks, including understanding a newspaper, technical reports, and everyday instructions

| Very important |  | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Important |  | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

## SLOs: Count and Percent <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

## Count Percent

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Interact successfully with
Respondents: 12
people of other cultures, backgrounds, and nationalities

| Very important |  | 8 | $66.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Important |  | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Demonstrate appropriate Respondents: 12
international etiquette in business and social situations

| Very important |  | 8 | $66.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Important |  | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

# Other SLOs <br> Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: Are there other skills or learning outcomes that you think are important for individuals completing foreign language courses?

Learning patience....
Learning/accepting the complications of and difficulties in effective communication in a language other than one's first one....
Awareness of and ability to integrate alterantive worldviews
Understand the cultural context of the language

# Methods of Assessment: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

|  | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes Participation | Respondents: | : 12 |
| Frequently | 10 | 83.33 \% |
| Sometimes | 2 | 16.67 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | 100 \% |
| Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes Objective tests (multiple choice, true/false, short answer, etc.) | Respondents: | : 12 |
| Frequently | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Sometimes | 7 | 58.33 \% |
| Not at all | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Total Responses | S 12 | $100 \%$ |
| Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes Skill demonstration | Respondents: | : 12 |
| Frequently | 10 | 83.33 \% |
| Sometimes | 2 | 16.67 \% |
| Total Responses | 12 | $100 \%$ |
| Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes Essay tests | Respondents: | : 12 |
| Frequently | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Sometimes | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Rarely | 2 | 16.67 \% |
| Not at all | 2 | 16.67 \% |
| Total Responses | - 12 | 100 \% |
| Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes Case studies | Respondents: | : 12 |
| Frequently | 1 | 8.33 \% |
| Sometimes | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Rarely | 2 | 16.67 \% |
| Not at all | 5 | 41.67 \% |
| Total Responses | S 12 | 100 \% |
| Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes Individual projects | Respondents: | : 12 |
| Frequently | 3 | 25.00 \% |
| Sometimes | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Rarely | 4 | 33.33 \% |
| Not at all | 1 | 8.33 \% |

# Methods of Assessment: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Count Percent

Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Respondents: 12
Individual projects
Total Responses 12100 \%

Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Respondents: 12
Group projects

| Frequently | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |
| Not at all |  | 2 |
|  |  | $16.67 \%$ |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Respondents: 12
Report of application of knowledge/skill to daily life

| Frequently |  | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $66.67 \%$ |  |  |
| Sometimes | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| Rarely |  | 2 |
|  |  | $16.67 \%$ |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Respondents: 12
Pre-post test of abilities

| Frequently |  | 3 | $25.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sometimes | 8 | $66.67 \%$ |  |
| Not at all | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | 12 |

Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Respondents: 12
Portfolios

Frequently
Sometimes

|  | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
|  | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

# Other Methods of Assessment Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: Are there any other methods you use to assess student learning outcomes?
Research done in the language online, evidencing students' undrstanding of and ability in evaluating the credibility of online-sourced, Web-based information, news, etc.

## Professional Development: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

|  | Count | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| In which of the following professional development activities have <br> you participated within the past two years? | Respondents: | 12 |
| CCC General Faculty Meeting all that apply.) |  |  |
| Discipline-related workshops | 12 | $100.00 \%$ |
| Technology-related workshops | 8 | $66.67 \%$ |
| Student learning outcomes workshops/training | 9 | $75.00 \%$ |
| Other workshops | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
| Membership in professional associations | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Professional conferences | 3 | $25.00 \%$ |
| Graduate classes/program | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Other classes | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Professional training | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Discipline-related reading | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| Technology-related reading | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
| Other | 5 | $41.67 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Responses |
|  | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ |

## Other Professional Development Activities Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

Question: In which of the following professional development activities have you participated within the past two years? (Mark all that apply.)
publication of research (this implies that i have done the research...)

## Incorporating SLOs: Count and Percent Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

|  | Count | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| What steps, if any, have you taken to incorporate student learning <br> outcomes (SLOs) into your course? (Mark all that apply.) | Respondents: | 12 |
| I haven't yet identified expected student learning outcomes. |  |  |
| I am attempting to identify expected SLOs but need some assistance. | 1 | $8.33 \%$ |
| I have identified expected SLOs. | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
| I have updated my course outline(s) to include expected SLOs. | 6 | $50.00 \%$ |
| I have developed a plan for assessing SLOs. | 7 | $58.33 \%$ |
| I have assessed students based on expected SLOs. | 4 | $33.33 \%$ |
| I use results from SLO assessments to modify my instruction. | 2 | $16.67 \%$ |
|  | Total Responses | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |

# Retention, Goals, Comments, and Suggestions Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: What do you believe the program could do to attract and retain students in classroom-based foreign language courses?

Provide a sequence of courses that allow a student to progress through the language offerings within a reasonable time.

Offer something besides a single course, the most elementary one, and offer more than that single course in more than one delivery mode. Perhaps interact/collaborate with other institutions. Perhaps offer outside activities "en groupe" as options.

Offer a range of courses to afford students better options.
Offer a greater variety of classes that will allow students to continue their studies beyond our present offerings. To become proficient in a foreign language, a student needs years of practice.
better advertizement of course offerings
More advertising would be nice.
Advertising the foreign language program through school media. Offering more classes in the near future.

Learning environment:
Release students from the pressure of memorizing vocabulary. Make students enjoy learning the language through the cultural awareness of a country. Not the instructors but students are the ones who ask the vords and develop their vocabulary.

The administration must be willing to start all over again. Hold smaller classes in the classroom and average the enrollment with Distance Learning. Hire fulltime faculty.

Cancellation of courses has been a detriment.

Continue the current spirit of providing support to the faculty who create a positive learning experience for the students. That is a sure way to attract and retain students.

The Chair has been wonderful in her support.

Continue the Department's policy of faculty support and helping them create a positive learning environment for the studets. These are among the most effective ways to attract and retain students.

The Chair has been wonderful in supporting these concepts.

# Retention, Goals, Comments, and Suggestions Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: As part of this review, the Foreign Languages Program will be identifying new five-year goals for the program. Please suggest one or more goals that you believe would be important for the program to pursue.
1)Hire a full-time faculty member who has the qualifications to teach two different foreign languages commonly offered here.
2)Re-introduce some of the less commonly taught foreign languages that have been dropped over the years.

Increase quality of and diversity of fl offerings. That is, have more than one course as an elementary one; the current elem course has suffered declining enrollments in large parts because: (1) there is no place/level to go next... (2) it is a telecourse only... (3) it ends half-way through a text/program... (4) it offers insufficient face-to-face practice/interaction. The online courses suffer because: (1) updating/changing/adding to materials is a laborious process; (2) sound/pronunciation incorporation has been difficult...

To offer more daytime classroom classes in Spanish.
offer students an option of a two nights course per week as well as continuing one night course per week.

For me I think it's important to learn the different teaching methods from the other foreign language teachers in order to make the Chinese program grow.

Application of their language knowledge and skills: Organize trips to a country where students can use the language related to that country; Organize frequent social gatherings where students can meet native people speaking the language that students are learning.

Hire faculty
Invest in the program.
Allow smaller classes.
Assure students of sequence availability.
Provide technology support for all professors(FT \& PT) including computers, language software support, etc.

Provide and update computer support to all faculty (both FT and PT) including foreign languages software, keyboards if different from English, etc

# Retention, Goals, Comments, and Suggestions Foreign Languages Faculty 2006 

Question: Are there other foreign language courses or course levels that you would like Coastline to offer?

Hebrew, German (a telecourse exists for German; i would like to help get it up...(!)), Japanese, Farsi, Russian, Norwegian, Polish....
not at this time

Internet course
Italian for travelers

Chinese 180A for the summer program. Chinese 185A for the regular semesters.
Online Spanish 180
n/a
There is a plan to expand Coversation Arabic that is supported by the Dept. Chair.

Question: Do you have any other comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Languages Program at Coastline?

The Foreign Language Department currently has no full-time faculty member and that is a detriment to the department.

Make it live? I tried to have a film series; that was stopped. I tried to have a cultural events series; that was stopped; i suggested having a twenty-four-hour-a-day live online chat in multiple languages; that went nowhere. I suggested creating an online journal or blog or news outlet for languages; that went nowhere. I have suggested an international communications network offering pen-pals to our students from elsewhere; although i have done this to some extent on my own, and the students who have participated have liked it, it has had not much institutional support, except from a university in Japan and from France Telecom. These are some ideas, and $i$ have more.

No.

I really enjoy the support I got from the director, Rosemary.
Organize language and culture study tours in Asia.
n/a

Continue what you are doing.

## Blank Survey Foreign Languages Faculty 2006

1. Which foreign languages are you currently teaching at Coastline?
(Choose all that apply)
[] 1. French, Italian, or German
[] 2. Spanish
[] 3. Vietnamese
[] 4. Other
2. At which location or in which delivery mode are you currently teaching foreign language classes? (Mark all that apply.)
(Choose all that apply)
[] 1. Coastline Costa Mesa Center
[] 2. Coastline Garden Grove Center
[ ] 3. Coastline Le-Jao Center In Westminster
[] 4. Costa Mesa Senior Center
[] 5. Fountain Valley High School
[] 6. Seal Beach Leisure World
[] 7. STAR (Hybrid)
[] 8. TV or Cable
[] 9. CD-ROM
[] 10. WWW (Internet class)
[] 11. Other
3. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Variety of classes
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
4. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Adequacy of instructional facilities
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
5. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Quality of general instructional equipment (audio-visual, etc.) (Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
6. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Staff support for the program and classes
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
7. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of culturally diverse students
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
8. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Extent to which faculty and staff meet the needs of non-traditional students (e.g., older adults, working adults, etc.)
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
9. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Overall quality of the program
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
10. Table 1 General Satisfaction - Your own success teaching in the program (Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
[] 5. Don't know or N/A
11. If you indicated that you were "Dissatisfied" or "Very Dissatisfied" with any of the above items, please explain your concerns.
12. Table 2 Distance Learning - Overall, the caliber of the distance learning foreign language courses at Coastline is equivalent to or better than the quality of other distance learning courses of which you are aware.
(Choose one)
[] 1. Strongly agree
[] 2. Agree
[] 3. Neutral
[] 4. Disagree
[] 5. Strongly disagree
13. Table 2 Distance Learning - I have as much or more interaction with students in my distance learning courses as I do with students in classroom-based courses.
(Choose one)
[] 1. Strongly agree
[] 2. Agree
[] 3. Neutral
[] 4. Disagree
[] 5. Strongly disagree
14. Table 2 Distance Learning - I am satisfied with the amount of interaction I have with the students in my distance learning course.
(Choose one)
[] 1. Strongly agree
[] 2. Agree
[] 3. Neutral
[] 4. Disagree
[] 5. Strongly disagree
15. Table 2 Distance Learning - The technology used to deliver my distance learning course has been reliable.
(Choose one)
[] 1. Strongly agree
[] 2. Agree
[] 3. Neutral
[] 4. Disagree
[] 5. Strongly disagree
16. Table 2 Distance Learning - The support I receive from the Distance Learning Department meets my needs.
(Choose one)
[] 1. Strongly agree
[] 2. Agree
[] 3. Neutral
[] 4. Disagree
[] 5. Strongly disagree
17. If you answered "Disagree" or "Strongly disagree" to any of the above statements about distance learning, please explain your concerns.
18. Please rank the following scheduling formats for language classes with "1" representing the format you most prefer, " 2 " representing your second choice, "3" your third choice, etc. Use each ranking number only once.
(Rank the following from 1 to 7)
[] 1. 16-week classes
[] 2. 12-week classes
[] 3. 8-week classes
[] 4. 4-week classes
[] 5. Intensive weekend classes
[] 6. Intensive week-long classes that meet daily
[] 7. Other
19. Are there other scheduling formats in which you would be interested?
20. Table 3 Scheduling or Delivery Mode Preferences - Classroom-based courses
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very Interested
[] 2. Somewhat Interested
[] 3. Less Interested
[] 4. Not Interested
21. Table 3 Scheduling or Delivery Mode Preferences - Telecourse or cable
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very Interested
[] 2. Somewhat Interested
[] 3. Less Interested
[] 4. Not Interested
22. Table 3 Scheduling or Delivery Mode Preferences - CD-ROM
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very Interested
[] 2. Somewhat Interested
[] 3. Less Interested
[] 4. Not Interested
23. Table 3 Scheduling or Delivery Mode Preferences - WWW (online)
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very Interested
[] 2. Somewhat Interested
[] 3. Less Interested
[] 4. Not Interested
24. Table 3 Scheduling or Delivery Mode Preferences - Hybrid courses combining Internet and classroom instruction
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very Interested
[] 2. Somewhat Interested
[] 3. Less Interested
[] 4. Not Interested
25. Are there other delivery formats in which you would be interested?
26. You indicated an interest in a hybrid format that divides class time between classroom sessions and work and activities conducted online. If a hybrid class were offered in your discipline, how often do you believe the class should meet in the classroom?
(Choose one)
[] 1. Once a week for about half the class time
[] 2. Once every two weeks
[] 3. Once a month
[] 4. Other
27. Do you teach any foreign languages classes for Coastline's Military Program?
(Choose one)
[] 1. Yes
[] 2. No
28. To the best of your knowledge, do you have any incarcerated students enrolled in any of your Coastline foriegn language classes?
(Choose one)
[] 1. Yes
[] 2. No
[] 3. Don't know
29. You indicated that you teach classes in Coastline's Military Program. Are you satisfied with the way in which you are able to delivery instruction for military students?
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
30. Do you have any comments or concerns specifically related to foreign language classes for military students?
31. You indicated that you have incarcerated students enrolled in one or more of the foreign language classes that you teach. Approximately how many incarcerated students are enrolled in your classes? (Provide a single estimated total for all of your...
(Choose one)
[] 1. 1-10 students
[] 2. 11-20 students
[] 3. 21-30 students
[] 4. 31-40 students
[] 5. 41-50 students
[] 6. More than 50 students
32. Are you satisfied with the way in which you are able to delivery instruction for incarcerated students?
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very satisfied
[] 2. Somewhat satisfied
[] 3. Somewhat dissatisfied
[] 4. Very dissatisfied
33. Do you have any comments or concerns specifically related to foreign language classes that enroll incarcerated students?
34. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Construct sentences in the target language that demonstrate an understanding of vocabulary, grammatical structure, and cultural context
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
35. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Respond with understanding to written, spoken, and visual cues presented in the target language
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
36. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Use interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational skills and strategies to communicate in the target language
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
37. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Speak, read, and write at an intermediate or higher level in the target language
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
38. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Satisfy social needs in the target language
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
39. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Use the target language to accomplish basic communication tasks, including understanding a newspaper, technical reports, and everyday instructions
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
40. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Interact successfully with people of other cultures, backgrounds, and nationalities
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
41. Table 4 Importance of Specific SLOs - Demonstrate appropriate international etiquette in business and social situations
(Choose one)
[] 1. Very important
[] 2. Important
[] 3. Less important
[] 4. Not important
42. Are there other skills or learning outcomes that you think are important for individuals completing foreign language courses?
43. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Participation
(Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
44. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Objective tests (multiple choice, true/false, short answer, etc.)
(Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
45. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Skill demonstration (Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
46. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Essay tests
(Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
47. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Case studies (Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
48. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Individual projects (Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
49. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Group projects (Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
50. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Report of application of knowledge/skill to daily life
(Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
51. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Pre-post test of abilities (Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
52. Table 5 Methods Used for Assessing Student Learning Outcomes - Portfolios
(Choose one)
[] 1. Frequently
[] 2. Sometimes
[] 3. Rarely
[] 4. Not at all
53. Are there any other methods you use to assess student learning outcomes?
54. In which of the following professional development activities have you participated within the past two years? (Mark all that apply.)
(Choose all that apply)
[] 1. CCC General Faculty Meeting
[] 2. Discipline-related workshops
[] 3. Technology-related workshops
[] 4. Student learning outcomes workshops/training
[] 5. Other workshops
[] 6. Membership in professional associations
[] 7. Professional conferences
[] 8. Graduate classes/program
[] 9. Other classes
[] 10. Professional training
[] 11. Discipline-related reading
[] 12. Technology-related reading
[] 13. None of the above
[] 14. Other
55. What steps, if any, have you taken to incorporate student learning outcomes (SLOs) into your course? (Mark all that apply.)
(Choose all that apply)
[] 1. I haven't yet identified expected student learning outcomes.
[] 2. I am attempting to identify expected SLOs but need some assistance.
[] 3. I have identified expected SLOs.
[] 4. I have updated my course outline(s) to include expected SLOs.
[] 5. I have developed a plan for assessing SLOs.
[] 6. I have assessed students based on expected SLOs.
[] 7. I use results from SLO assessments to modify my instruction.
56. What do you believe the program could do to attract and retain students in classroom-based foreign language courses?
57. As part of this review, the Foreign Languages Program will be identifying new five-year goals for the program. Please suggest one or more goals that you believe would be important for the program to pursue.
58. Are there other foreign language courses or course levels that you would like Coastline to offer?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
59. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for improving the Foreign Languages Program at Coastline?
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## At Peak: 19 Languages

- American Sign Language
- Arabic
- Chinese
- Dutch
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hebrew
- Italian
- Japanese
- Korean
- Latin
- Norwegian
- Persian
- Portuguese
- Russian
- Spanish
- Swedish
- Vietnamese



## Current Languag



- Arabic
- Chinese
- Firench
- Italian
- Spanish
- Vietnamese


## Teaching Sites

- Prior Sites (1989-99)
- CCC Costa Mesa Center
- CCC Huntington Beach Center
- CCC Newport Beach Center
- Bolsa H.S. in Garden Grove
- Corona del Mar H.S.
- Edison H.S. in Huntington Beach
- Estancia H.S. in Costa Mesa
- Fountain Valley H.S.
- Marina H.S. in Westminster
- Westminster H.S.
- Oasis
- Seal Beach Fidelity Federal
- TV


## Teaching Sites

- Current Sites (2005-06)
- CCC Costa Mesa Center
- CCC Garden Grove Center
- CCC Le-Jao Center in Westminster
- Costa Mesa Senior Center
- Leisure World in Seal Beach
- TV
- WWW


## Enrollments and FTES



## Growth in Distance Learning

Foreign Languages by Delivery Mode


## Delivery Modes ~ Fall 2005 (FJES-Generating)

Fall 2005 FTES by Delivery Mode


## Military Program ~ Fall 2005

Foreign Language Seat Count in Military Program


## Students: Education



## Students: Employment

Working full time
$35 \%$

Not working 36\%

Working 21-

$$
30 \mathrm{hrs}
$$ 9\%

Working 20 hrs or less 16\%

Working as a volunteer 4\%

## Costs

- Staffed entirely by part-time faculty
- 2001 retirement of full-time faculty member
- 2004 retirement of full-time faculty member whose assignment was split between Spanish and ESL
- Part-time costs in Fall 2005: \$98,000
- No ongoing equipment/supply costs


## Need

- Associate in Arts Degree or Transfer
- Personal/Travel
- Work



## SLOs

- 3 of 12 faculty say they have not yet identified expected SLOs or are trying but need help
- Department Chair participating in SLO Peer-Mentor Training
- Priority: 180 and 185 courses in Spanish, Italian, Vietnamese, French, Chinese, and Arabic


## Student Satisfaction: Quality of Instruction



## Student Satisfaction: Opportunities for Oral Language Practice



Dissatisfaction twice as high among distance learning as classroom students.

## Student Comments

> "I have taken similar language courses at other community colleges and I think the instruction at Coastline is the best one I've encountered. I just wish more people knew about it."

"We have an excellent instructor and a very motivated student group. It is very enjoyable and worthwhile."
"The online environment provided by Coastline has enabled me to graduate at least 6 months earlier than scheduled due to work and home responsibilities. Although it has been a challenge with self discipline, it has benefited me extremely with my diploma that will be received in May. Thank you."

## Resources

- Student Satisfaction:
- Generally satisfied with instructional facilities ( $89 \%$ )
- Satisfied with reliability of technology used to deliver distance learning courses ( $94 \%$ )
- Student Concerns:
- Some facilities are rundown (Costa Mesa)
- Would like labs and/or CDs and tapes


## Partnerships

- Proposal Concept for a CommunityOriented Arab Studies Program
- Orange County and Southern California Arab community
- Egyptian Cultural Office in Washington, DC



## Professional Development



## Diversity: Student Age and Gender



## Diversity: Ethnicity and Primary Language



## Progress on Prior Goals

- Sites
- Classes at Le-Jao
- Chinese classes at Garden Grove
- Block and SAC
- Still no site for south Hluntington Beach


## Progress on Prior Goals

- Courses
- Difficulty "making" higher-level courses
- Emerging possibilities with Early College
- Improved Retention



## Progress on Prior Goals

- Faculty
- Loss of first full-time faculty member in 2001
- Loss of second full-time faculty member ( $50 \%$ Spanish; $50 \%$ ESL) in 2004
- Spanish is $8^{\text {th }}$ highest FTES generator
- All languages combined would be in third place in FTES-behind only ESL (4 FT faculty; Math 2 FT faculty)


## New Recommendations

1. Acquisition of a south Huntington Beach site
2. Increased access and a steady presence at Garden Grove Center and Le-Jao Center
3. Replacement of the full-time position

- Two 50\% positions (shared with other disciplines) or
- One full-time instructor to teach two different languages

4. Student access to the full sequence of foreign language course offerings

## New Goals

1. Continued improvement of class retention
2. Growth of the program by increased distance learning and online options
3. Reinstatement of some LCTLS
4. Development of alternative modes of delivery for all languages
5. Development of/access to "virtual" online labs
6. Revision/updating of all course outlines with expected SLOs
7. Increase student-to-student contact in DL classes, particularly in telecourses
8. Continue to advocate for new full-time faculty member


## Program Review 2005-06

## Validation Report

## Foreign Language Program

1. Has the program adequately addressed the topics delineated in the "Qualitative Questions for Five-Year Program Review" self-study guidelines?


If no, note which topics were either omitted or not addressed clearly or substantially enough:

Does the data substantiate the conclusions and recommendations made?

$$
X \text { Yes } \quad \text { No }
$$

If no, note the areas and manner in which data does not match conclusions or recommendations?
2. List the most important things (issues, trends, concerns, etc.) that are apparent from this report:
A. Most students appear to be taking foreign language classes for personal growth or travel rather than to satisfy A.A. degree or transfer requirements.
B. Although an increasingly large share of the world's population speaks English, a great need exists for both the commonly taught languages and the less commonly taught languages (LCTLs); and research studies indicate that individuals who learn a second language (or multiple languages) develop skills and abilities in other areas such as critical thinking, math processing, problem solving, etc.
C. The program has experienced a significant increase in distance learning (DL) enrollments, with DL now outnumbering classroom-based enrollments 2 to 1.
D. Coastline, once a leader in offering LCTLs, now primarily offers mainstream languages. Arabic and Chinese are the only two currently-offered languages that are outside the mainstream.
E. The program continues to have difficulty attracting sufficiently large numbers of students to fill advanced-level language classes.
F. The program is drawing an increased number of incarcerated students, particularly in the Spanish telecourses.
3. Are there any areas which are unclear or any significant points which may have been overlooked?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

If yes, note these areas or points:
4. List any realistic suggestions the Steering Committee may have for the program based on information in the self-study.
A. In pursuing the goal of continued advocacy for a full-time faculty member in foreign languages (at 114.66 FTES, the third-highest ranked program), address the need for a faculty member who, in addition to being able to teach in two languages, will be able to help the program develop strategies to increase enrollments and retention rates, to work with Distance Learning to develop innovative teaching and learning resources, and to work with other Coastline programs in order to integrate foreign languages.
B. Continue to clarify the reasons that students are taking foreign language classes and align the curriculum to best meet those needs.
C. Continue to inform the appropriate deans and support staff of facility maintenance needs and work with the site deans and discipline dean to assure that faculty have access to appropriate and functioning instructional equipment.
D. Support faculty training and department-wide dialog in identifying expected student learning outcomes (SLOs) and begin tracking outcomes in Fall 2006.
E. Explore the possibility of offering some of the less commonly-taught languages (LCTLs) via online courses.
F. Increase opportunities for student-to-student communication as well as student-tofaculty communication in distance learning courses, particularly telecourses.
G. Explore ways to augment online and classroom instruction through increased availability of tapes and CDs, online voice chat, audio conferences, Web conferences, and/or online language clubs.
H. Explore options for attracting more high school students, including offering summer and regular term classes.
5. List program accomplishments and aspects for which the program should be commended.
A. Students appear to be very satisfied with the Foreign Language Program at Coastline; and the fact that students not only like distance delivery, but are successful in DL courses, suggests that we have excellent instructors providing rigorous curriculum in delivery modes that meet the needs of classroom, traditional distance learning, military, and incarcerated students.
B. The program operates at very low cost; and even without the benefit of any full-time faculty, students continue to learn, succeed, and move on. Kudos to the dedicated and highly-capable part-time faculty for a job well done!
C. The program is to be commended for its initiative in attempting to develop a Community-Oriented Arab Studies Program.

## Prepared by the Program Review Steering Committee, May 2006

